Lowell Middle School Targeted Improvement Plan 2019-2020



TIP Components	Notes
Foundations	
Self-Assessment Results	If the campus has had an ESF Diagnostic, DO NOT complete the 'Self-Assessment' section. Continue to the next section titled, 'ESF Diagnostic Results'
Essential Action	From the drop down menu, select the Essential Action the campus selected based on the Needs Assessment and/or ESF Final Report.
Rationale	Explain the reasons this Essential Action was selected.
Desired Annual Outcome for Priority Focus Area	Create your annual goal for each Prioritized Focus Area identified using qualitative and/or quantitative measures.
Barriers to Address During the Year	List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area.
District Commitment on Theory of Action	State the District Theory of Action and the District Commitments that will support the campus' essential actions found in the plan.
Date of ESF Diagnostic	Complete after ESF Diagnostic.
Capacity Builder	Capacity Builders can include vetted partners, ESCs, and/or internal district support.
Cycles 1, 2, and 3 90-day Action	Plan
Desired 90-day Outcome	Describe the specific goals the campus plans to achieve by the end of the cycle for the relevant prioritized focus area.
Barriers to Address During the Year	List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area. Barriers may stay the same or change from cycle to cycle.
District Actions for this Cycle	List what the district will do to support the campus during this 90-day cycle to achieve the desired outcomes.
Milestones	In each row, list actions the campus is taking in this cycle to achieve desired outcomes and address barriers to implementation. An action may address more than one priority focus area. New actions can be added over time, as needed.
Prioritized Focus Area	Select the Prioritized Focus Area(s) that is aligned to this milestone.
Timeline	Identify a start and end date. End date may carryover to another cycle.
Resources Needed	Examples include, but are not limited to: budget allocation, data platforms, personnel, etc.
Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Measures can be qualitative or quantitative.
Progress toward Milestone	Select the status of the evidence review from the dropdown menu.
Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps	List adjustments or next steps the campus will take to achieve this action. Include barriers that limited progress towards achieving this action.
Cycle 4	

Not to filled out until summative process has been completed

School District And		Campus Information										
Binding Gamps Number 0:1 Description Description Call Support Description Description Binding Number 0:1 State of the processing during length of the processing	District Name		Campus Name	Lowell Middle School	Superintendent	Pedro Martinez	Principal Yvonne M. Hernández					
A resultA resu		045007		054	District Coordinator of	De lestite Celle	550 5	Region 20				
Bits Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thde< th=""><td>District Number</td><td>015907</td><th>Campus Number</th><td>051</td><th>School Improvement (DCSI)</th><th>Dr. Judith Solis</th><td>ESC Support</td><td>Dr. Regina Davis</td></thde<></thdescription<>	District Number	015907	Campus Number	051	School Improvement (DCSI)	Dr. Judith Solis	ESC Support	Dr. Regina Davis				
Bits Description Descrin Descrin Descr			<u>.</u>		As	surances	•					
Gold percention Segmentation using segmenta	DCSI	support mechanisms to ensure implementation of all interven	e the successful implem ntion requirements. If I a	entation of the Targeted Improvement	Plan for this campus. I unders	stand I am responsible for the		Dr. Judith Solis - November 11, 2019				
Principal Communication and unclease insurance in unclease insurance i	is NOT the Principal	level commitments and suppo	rt mechanisms to ensur	e the principal I supervise can achieve	successful implementation of	the Targeted Improvement		Dr. Judith Solis - November 11, 2019				
Net Assessment Net Assessment Data Analysis Questions Omain 1 Student Alonement: S8 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap: S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Colspan="2">Self-Assessment Results Completed if the completed if the completed if the Gampleted S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Self-Assessment Results Completed if the completed if the completed if the Campleted S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Self-Assessment Results Completed if the completed if the Campleted S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Completed if the Campleted S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Completed S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Completed S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Completed S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Completed S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Completed S9 Domain 2 Gosing the Gap domain. Independent Second Complet	Principal commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this campus. I agree to carry out Yvonne M. Hernández - November 11, 2019											
Mate accounce line point and a voir campuse et al point and sevent et al scheer met reby need to earross the board, so on average, we want to see 4-15 per centrage point increase in each STAAR tested subject area and grade subject per formane are included in a voir campuse et al complete et areass the board, so on average, we want to see 4-15 per centrage point increase in each STAAR tested subject area and grade subject per formane are included in a voir campus et al complete et areass the board, so on average, we want to see 4-15 per centrage point increase in each STAAR tested subject area and grade in a voir subject per formane are included in a voir subject per formane are included in a voir subject per formane area included in a voir subject ter subject subject area and per formane. Elef-Assertion Subject Per formane area included in a voir subject per formane area included in therease subject voir subject area included in a voir subject per f	Board Approval Date	2019-11-11										
Data Analysis Questions Domain has your campus sist of band 2 School Progress: 69 band 3 Cosing the Gaps: 50 band 3 Cosing the Gap domain. base Analysis Questions base Analysis Questions for student outcomes are tweet where the view of to be across the board, so on average, we want to see a 4.15 percentage point increase in each STAAR tested subject are and gaps of cosing the Gap domain. base Analysis Questions for student outcomes are tweet the view of to be across the board, so on average, we want to see a 4.15 percentage point increase in each STAAR tested subject are and gaps of cosing the Gap domain. base Analysis Questions nain Actarget in student outcomes are tweet to be across the board, so on average, we want to see a 4.15 percentage point increase in each STAAR tested subject are and gaps of cosing the Gap domain. base Analysis Questions nain Actarget in student outcomes are tweeter the view of to be across the board, so on average, we want to see a 4.15 percentage cosing the Gap domain. base Analysis Questions main Actarget in student outcomes are tweeter the view of to be across the board, so on average, we want to see a 4.15 percentage cosing the Gap domain. base Autor Comment are the Comment are					Needs	s Assessment						
Data Analysis Questions What changes in student go up and these goals? weel. We also expect to see a growth in all student groups in Closing the Gap domain. If applicable, what goals has your campus set for CCMR and s				Domain has your campus set for the	Domain 2 School Progress: 6	9						
Self-ASS Self-ASS Completed if the campleted		Data Analysis Questions		subject performance are included in		-						
If the completed if the completed if the complete SPE Diagnostic) Lot complete SPE Diagnostic) Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 1.1 Develop campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 5.1 Objective-drive daily lesson plans with formative assessments. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 6.1 Objective-drive daily lesson plans with formative assessments. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 6.1 Objective-drive daily lesson plans with formative assessments. Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented)					n/a							
Essential Action Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) 1.1 Develop campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities. 3 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. 2 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. 3 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. 3 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. 3				٦)			agnostic)					
1.1 Develop campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities. 3 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. 3 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. 3 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. 3 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. 3				Use	the completed Self-Asse	ssment Tool to complete th	nis section					
2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. 2 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. 3 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. 3 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. 3			Essential Act	ion			Implementa	tion Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented)				
3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. 3 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. 3 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. 3	1.1 Develop campus instr	ructional leaders with clear roles	and responsibilities.					3				
4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. 6.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments.	2.1 Recruit, select, assign	, induct and retain a full staff of	highly qualified educat	ors.				2				
5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments.	3.1 Compelling and aligne	ed vision, mission, goals, values	focused on a safe enviro	onment and high expectations.				3				
	4.1 Curriculum and assess	sments aligned to TEKS with a y	ear-long scope and sequ	ience.				3				
5.3 Data-driven instruction. 3	5.1 Objective-driven daily	lesson plans with formative as	sessments.					3				
	5.3 Data-driven instructio	on.						3				

	Prioritized Focus A	rea #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative	assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.	
Rationale	Last year, teachers were not expected to submit less was provided. Lesson planning was not monitored. F establish expectations for rigorous lesson planning ar leaders to utilize to provide precise and timely feedba	ocusing on this area allows us to deprovides structures for instructional	PLCs have happened but they didn't focus on data analysis (to include Check Points), Learning Objectives, Demonstrations of Learning or Reteach from the data analysis; no real process in place for Instructional Coaches or teachers to analyze unit tests (or any other tests) for the purposes of effective reteach.	
Desired Annual Outcom	Teachers use PLC meetings to collaboratively plan pa Demonstrations of Learning) and ALT provides timely of lesson plans. Recurring trends found in lessons pla subsequent PLCs. Professional Development on DDLs provided and will be required component in the lesso	feedback to help improve the quality ns will serve as agenda items s (Demonstrations of Learning) will be	PLCs are lead and planned by ALT and follow DDI protocol after all assessments. Check Points will be implemented and monitored as part of the re-teach/re-assess cycle.	
Barriers to Address During the Year	Teacher receptivity to planning (and feedback). Instr Principal skill and confidence in giving feedback on le- content-specific discussions.		ALT's ability to roll out plan and expectations for Data-driven Instruction to teachers (both from an effective PD and teacher buy in standpoint); and, time management for ALT to ensure preparations are implemented with fidelity.	
Dist	rict Commitment Theory of Action:			provided and graded, the district ensures that schools receive detailed reports within two ta (present and historical), then achievement gaps at Lowell Middle School will close, providing
			ESF Diagnostic Results	
		(To be complete	d AFTER the campus engages in the shared diagnostic with an ESF Facilitator)
	Date of ESF Diagnostic	2019-10-22		
	Prioritized Focus A	rea #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative		5.3 Data-driven instruction.	
Desired Annual Outcom	Teachers use PLC meetings to collaboratively plan par Demonstrations of Learning) and ALT provides timely of lesson plans. Recurring trends found in lessons pla subsequent PLCs. Professional Development on DOL:	feedback to help improve the quality ns will serve as agenda items	PLCs are lead and planned by ALT and follow DDI protocol after all assessments. Check Points will be implemented and monitored as part of the re-teach/re-assess cycle.	
Barriers to Address During the Year	Teacher receptivity to planning (and feedback). Instr Principal skill and confidence in giving feedback on le content-specific discussions.		ALT's ability to roll out plan and expectations for Data-drive Instruction to teachers (both from an effective PD and teacher buy in standpoint); and, time management for ALT to ensure leader preparations are done and high quality.	
Dist	rict Commitment Theory of Action			provided and graded, the district ensures that schools receive detailed reports within two ta (present and historical), then achievement gaps at Lowell Middle School will close, providing
		-		
Prioritized Focus Areas for Improvement			Capacity Builder	
	Administrative Leadership Team weekly meeting will focu:	s on lesson plan feedback and the effective		
for Improvement		s on lesson plan feedback and the effective		

						Stud	ent Data						
					% of Students at Meets Grade Level on STAAR or Other Assessment								
Grade level	Subject tested		Cycle 1		Cycle 2				Cycle 3		Summative		
		Data Source	Goal	Actual	Data Source	Goal	Actual	Data Source	Goal	Actual	Data Source	Goal	Actual
6th	Math	District CBA	16%	19%	STAAR Release	18%	6%	Benchmark	20%		STAAR	20%	
6th	Reading	District CBA	18%	6%	STAAR Release	20%	5%	Benchmark	22%		STAAR	22%	
7th	Math	District CBA	19%	9%	STAAR Release	21%	10%	Benchmark	25%		STAAR	25%	
7th	Reading	District CBA	28%	14%	STAAR Release	31%	13%	Benchmark	34%		STAAR	34%	
7th	Writing	District CBA	20%	13%	STAAR Release	22%	9%	Benchmark	23%		STAAR	24%	
8th	Math	District CBA	26%	23%	STAAR Release	29%	16%	Benchmark	32%		STAAR	32%	
8th	Reading	District CBA	16%	10%	STAAR Release	18%	22%	Benchmark	30%		STAAR	30%	
8th	Science	District CBA	14%	45%	District CBA	16%	8%	Benchmark	18%		STAAR	25%	
8th	Social Studies	District CBA	7%	16%	District CBA	8%	16%	Benchmark	9%		STAAR	17%	
8th	Algebra EOC	District CBA	54%	42%	STAAR Release	60%	46%	Benchmark	67%		STAAR	67%	

			Су	cle 1 90-day Outcomes	(September - Novemb	er)			
		Prioritized Focu	s Area #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2			Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesso	on plans with forn	native assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.					
Desired Annual Outcome	and Demonstrations of Learnir quality of lesson plans. Recurr items subsequent PLCs. Profes Learning) will be provided and	g) and ALT provid ing trends found sional Developm will be required o ollow DDI protoco	an parts of lessons (Lesson Objectives des timely feedback to help improve the in lessons plans will serve as agenda ent on DOLs (Demonstrations of component in the lesson plans.PLCs are of after all assessments. Check Points will e-teach/re-assess cycle.		ALT and follow DDI protocol afte ted and monitored as part of th				
Desired 90-day Outcome			tives and Demonstrations of Learning).	team, will follow a DDI protoco for reteach of high leverage st	non assessments, led by a memi ol in order to analyze results and andards occurs. Re-teach/re-ass servation and data discussion fo	l ensure that planning ess dates will also be			
Barriers to Address During this Cycle		n giving feedback	Instructional Coaches' and Assistant on lesson plans; and, their ability to get	(both from an effective PD and	d expectations for Data-drive In d teacher buy in standpoint); and ions are done and high quality.				
District Actions for this Cycle	Conduct calibration classroom	walkthroughs wit	to help develop the PLC framework. In the admin team to align feedback as are aligned to TEKS and focused on	Principal supervisor- coaching of principal continues every other week, with a coaching focus on implementation DDI roll out, observation feedback and systems that protect leadership team's time to focus on instructional leadership. The principal supervisor supports ILT in accessing unit tests in advance and identifying which standard are prioritized in unit tests to support PLC planning. An expectation is set that the campus principal establish a system to collect lesson plans, provide quality feedback , and organize instructional rounds to monitor rollout of the lessons. Principal supervisor/DCSI will monitor the campus principal's					
District Commitments Theory of Action			upport and coaching of the campus leade track to graduate data (present and histor		rict provided and graded, the di			n two instructional days; and the	district provides schools with
				Action plan	-Milestones				
Miles	stones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Raise Up Texas training throug	h ESC Region 20	1, 2	8-Aug & 20-Sep	RaiseUp Team, Campus Data, Lesson Plan Template, Unit Organizer	RaiseUp Team, Principal, and Campus Coaches	Playbook, Unit Organizers, Weekly Cue Do-Review, Lesson	Weekly through Nov 11 -	On Track	
Completed teacher training on the development of Exit 1, 2 20-Sep Tickets and analysis 1 1				TEKS, PPT, Exemplars, consumable materials to create products and PD	Academic Leadership Team and Teachers	Teacher Work Products Lesson plans, Agenda, and Sign in,	Weekly through Nov 11	On Track	Re-teach and continue to monitor
Established data tracking tool f complete after each assessmen		2	3-Sep	Data tracker, Profile Folders (Teacher & Student version)	Academic Leadership Team and Teachers	Data tracker, Profile Folders (Teacher & Student version)	After every 3-week checkpoint	On Track	Monitor after each check point

Weekly planning every Thursday through PLC using Raise Up Instructional planning cycle	1	15-Aug	Lesson plans, PLC agenda, Sign in, District Specialist	Academic Leadership Team and Teachers	Lesson plans, Unit Organizers, Cycle Chart	Weekly through Nov 11	On Track	Continue PLC Cycle and Depth of Knowledge support via Region 20
ALT meetings analyze 9 week results and arrange observation calendar to prioritize feedback to teachers with lower student mastery	2	18-Oct	9 weeks results, observation calendar	Academic Leadership Team and Teachers	Eduphoria Data, Data Trackers (Teacher & Student version)	25-Oct	Significant Progress	Continue walk-through tracker to monitor
Checkpoints will have been conducted every 3 weeks to monitor both formative assessments and re-assessment of TEKS.	2	23-Sep	Previous Checkpoint data, data tracker, prioritized standards, sample questions	Academic Leadership Team and Teachers	Checkpoint, Student Data, Lesson Plans	After each subsequent checkpoint	On Track	
Teachers and PLC leads use data tracker for all common assessments and unit tests.	1,2	10-Sept	Data tracker, test results	Academic Leadership Team and Teachers	Data Tracker and Lesson Plans	After each checkpoint	Significant Progress	Continue to monitor
		I	Reflection and Planning	g for Next 90-Day Cycle				
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?			Our 90-day outcome has been ac teacher support to make sure all		ed have been put in place ar	d we are currently refining the	process. We are meeting, monitorin	g, folllowing up, and providing
Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data	Tab)? Why or why i	not?	Performance goals were met for	6th Math, 8th Science and Social S	Studies.			
				Carryover Milestones			New Milestones	
view the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the xt cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle?			-	alyze 9 week results and continue t Il continue to use Data Tracker for		None at this time.		

			C	ycle 2 90-Day Outcom	es (December-February)			
		Prioritized Fe	ocus Area #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2			Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesso	on plans with form	native assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.					
Desired Annual Outcome	Demonstrations of Learning) ar lesson plans. Recurring trends PLCs. Professional Developmen will be required component in	nd ALT provides to found in lessons nt on DOLs (Demo the lesson plans. Ints. Check Points	an parts of lessons (Lesson Objectives and imely feedback to help improve the quality of plans will serve as agenda items subsequent onstrations of Learning) will be provided and PLCs are lead and planned by ALT and follow s will be implemented and monitored as part		ALT and follow DDI protocol afte Id monitored as part of the re-te				
Desired 90-day Outcome	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ds with Learning Objectives and sa observed by PLC lead during PLCs.	assessments as well as unit te	vith fidelity (data tracker templa sts, 9 week exams, and district b a meetings with individual teach	enchmarks. Admin Team will			
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	Maintain quality of Learning Ol	bjectives and Den	nonstrations of Learning during planning.	0 0	ed feedback on Check Points. Te tent to TEKS and reoccurring tre	0			
District Actions for this Cycle	and monitor lesson plan submi supervisor supports ILT in acce prioritized in unit tests to supp An expectation is set that the c provide quality feedback, and	ssions and quality ssing unit tests in ort PLC planning. ampus principal e organize instructi	dback on effectiveness of delivery of instruction y of feedback to teachers. The principal advance and identifying which standard are establish a system to collect lesson plans, ional rounds to monitor rollout of the lessons. pus principal's effectiveness on a bi-weekly	feedback. The principal support identifying which standard are An expectation is set that the provide quality feedback , and	the DDI process and the DCSI w visor supports ILT in accessing u e prioritized in unit tests to supp campus principal establish a sys l organize instructional rounds to monitor the campus principal's	nit tests in advance and ort PLC planning. tem to collect lesson plans, o monitor rollout of the lessons.			
District Commitments Theory of Action			support and coaching of the campus leader; for raduate data (present and historical), then achie		U		ailed reports within two instruct	tional days; and the district prov	ides schools with access to
				Action plan	-Milestones				
Miles	stones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
	tive Leadership Team weekly meeting will focus lan feedback and the effectiveness of the PLC.				Principal, ALT	ALT Calendar, Agenda Minutes, Student Outcomes on Assessments	Weekly through Feb 28	On Track	No next steps are needed. We will continue to meet as an ALT with data.
Raise Up Texas training throug	e Up Texas training through ESC Region 20 1,2 6-Jan		6-Jan	RaiseUp Team, Campus Data, Lesson Form	RaiseUp Team, Principal, and Campus Coaches	Playbook, Unit Organizers, weekly Cue-Do-Review, Lesson Plan	6-Jan	On Track	No next steps are needed. We will continue to follow the Raise Up Program.
	e a systemic process for monitoring and analyzing acher/Student Data Tracking tool. 28-Feb			Data tracker, Profile Folders, DDI Calendar, On-line Shared Drive (SharePoint)	ALT, teachers	Data tracker and Profile folder	After each assessment (3- week cycle)	Significant Progress	Next steps will be to revise current student data Tracker using Simulation Data only.
Monitoring of weekly planning using the Raise Up Instructiona	, , ,	1	Weekly through Feb 28	Lesson plans, PLC agenda, sign in, district specialist	ALT, teachers	Lesson plans, Unit Organizers, Cycle Chart	Weekly through Feb 28	On Track	No next steps are needed. Lesson plans and STAAR countowns will be monitored weekly.

ALT meetings analyze 9 week results and arrange observation calendar to prioritize feedback to teachers with lower student 2 mastery	2	through Feb 28	9 weeks results, observation calendar	ALT, teachers	Eduphoria data, data trackers	January	Significant Progress	Next steps will be to utilize and review revised Data Trackers.
Administer STAAR Simulation and use results to develop a Corrective Instruction Action Plan, including identified gaps, and dates for reteach, specific students to be addressed, and target tutorials to meet students needs.	2	27-Jan through 14-Feb	Simulation Results and CIAP Template	ALT, teachers	CIAP Plan, Student Rosters	14-Feb	On Track	Next steps implement Corrective Action Plan based on STAAR Simulations.
	Reflection and Planning	flection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle						
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?				ome due to being On Track for 4 sing the evidence pieces used to	-		ilestones. For the areas with Sig	gnificant Progress we are
Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Ta	not?		t performance goals in all grade ontinues to remain below the go	•	Reading and 8th Social Studies	. We have utilized reliable sour	ces of data; however, our	
			Carryover Milestones			New Milestones		
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle?			We will continue to work on al above.	l Milesstones with a focus on M	ilestones 3 and 5 as listed	We will not add New Milestones at this time.		

				Cycle 3 90-Day Out	comes (March-May)				
		Prioritized Fo	ocus Area #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2			Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action	5.1 Objective-driven daily lesso	on plans with forn	native assessments.	5.3 Data-driven instruction.					
Desired Annual Outcome	Demonstrations of Learning) and lesson plans. Recurring trends PLCs. Professional Developme will be required component in	nd ALT provides t found in lessons nt on DOLs (Demo the lesson plans. nts. Check Point	an parts of lessons (Lesson Objectives and imely feedback to help improve the quality of plans will serve as agenda items subsequent onstrations of Learning) will be provided and PLCs are lead and planned by ALT and follow s will be implemented and monitored as part		ALT and follow DDI protocol af d monitored as part of the re-1				
Desired 90-day Outcome	Teachers make adjustments to learning (observed by Principa		ed on recurring analysis of demonstrations of	70% of students master priori	ty standards after CIAP has bee	n implemented with fidelity.			
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	Balancing planning adjustment reteach standard as needed us	•	e scope and sequence to include time to nonstration of Learning) data.	Quality and effectiveness of C	AP implementation.				
District Actions for this Cycle	feedback, simulation results ar overall campus goals. "The pri and identifying which standard An expectation is set that the c provide quality feedback, and	d the CIAP. DCS ncipal supervisor are prioritized in ampus principal organize instruct	ce with the principal to review lesson I will also discuss alignment of CIAP with supports ILT in accessing unit tests in advance unit tests to support PLC planning. establish a system to collect lesson plans, ional rounds to monitor rollout of the lessons. bus principal's effectiveness on a bi-weekly	walkthrough feedback to obse instruction. The principal sup- identifying which standard are An expectation is set that the provide quality feedback, and	provide coaching and support i rive how the campus is using d ervisor supports ILT in accessin e prioritized in unit tests to sup campus principal establish a sy organize instructional rounds monitor the campus principal				
District Commitments Theory of Action			support and coaching of the campus leader; for raduate data (present and historical), then ach					ctional days; and the district pro	vides schools with access to
	•			Action plan	-Milestones				
Miles	stones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Use a systemic process for mor Teacher/Student Data Tracking		2	March 2-May 28	Data tracker, Profile Folders, DDI Calendar, On-line Shared Drive (SharePoint)	ALT, teachers	Data tracker and Profile folder	After each assessment (3- week cycle)		
Monitoring of weekly planning using the Raise Up Instructiona		1,2	Weekly through May 28, 2020	Lesson plans, PLC agenda, sign in, district specialist	ALT, teachers	Lesson plans, Unit Organizers, Cycle Chart	Weekly through May 28		
	esults and arrange observation to teachers with lower student	2	March 2-May 28	9 weeks results, observation calendar	ALT, teachers	Eduphoria data, data trackers	March		

Cycle 3	(Mar-May)
---------	-----------

Quality PLCs will be in effect an co-chaired by Core Content De	partment Chairs.		March 2-May 28	PLC Agenda, Master Calendar, Minute Recorder		PLC Agenda, PLC Minutes, Sign-in Sheet, Student Data, Data Tracker Tool	Weekly through May 28		
Admin Team monitors the effer implementation of CIAP	ctiveness of the	1,2	March 2-May 28	CIAP Plan, Student Rosters, Data Tracker Tool, Walk Through Calendar	ALT, Teachers	CIAP Plan, STAAR Results, Formative Assessments	Weekly through May 28		
				Reflection and Plannin	g for Next 90-Day Cycl	e			
Did you achieve your desired 90-d	ay outcome? Why or why not?								
Did you achieve your student perf	ormance goals (see Student Data	Tab)? Why or why	not?						
					Carryover Milestones			New Milestones	
Review the necessary adjustment cycle? What new milestones do yo		milestones from t	his cycle will you continue working on in the next						
				END OF YEAR	REFLECTION		·		
		Prioritized F	ocus Area #1		Prioritized Focus Area #2			Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action	0			0			0		
Desired Annual Outcome	#REF!			Demonstrations of Learning) a	collaboratively plan parts of les nd ALT provides timely feedbac found in lessons plans will serv	k to help improve the quality of		LT and follow DDI protocol afte d monitored as part of the re-tea	
Did the campus achieve the desired outcome? Why or why not?									

	Cycle 4 90-Day Action Plan (June-August)											
	The purpose of this 90-Day action plan is to prepare for the upcoming school year. The essential actions the campus prioritizes may have changed based on progress made in the school year or based on ESF diagnostic results.											
	Prioritized Focus Area #1 Prioritized Focus Area #2 Prioritized Focus Area #3											
Essential Action												
Rationale												
How will you communicate these priorities to your stakeholders? How will you invest them?												
Desired 90-Day Outcome												
Who will help the campus build capacity in this area?												
Barriers to Address												
District Actions for this Cycle												
District Commitments Theory of Action	0											
				Action	plan-Milestones							
Miles	tones	Prioritized Focus Area	Timeline	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence Used to Determine Progress Toward Milestone	Evidence Collection Date	Progress Toward Milestone	Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps			

Reflection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle								
Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?								
Did you achieve your summative student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not?								
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle?				Carryover Milestones			New Milestones	