Campus Targeted Improvement Plan

Intervention and Submission documents for the 2019-2020 monitoring year may be found on the Division of School Improvement web page at https://tea.texas.gov/si/accountabilityinterventions/

Please complete all sections of the Cycles 1, 2, and 3 tabsexcept:

- Status of Metrics/Evidence Collections
- Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps
- Section V: Reflections and Planning for Next 90 Day Cycle

These sections will be filled out at the end of the 90 day cycle<u>prior</u> to the Division of School Improvement Progress Submission.

| Feature/Tip | Explanation | Screenshot |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Checkbox selection | Check boxes allow for selection of one or more response to a question. Place a check in the box next to all answers that apply. | Prioritized Focus Area 1 1 2 1 3 |
| Expanding rows and/or columns | If you cannot see all of the information you've entered into a cell you may adjust the height of the cell by right-clicking and increasing the height of the row. | |

| Viewing cell tips | Throughout the Improvement Plan there are cells containing notes or guidance around what should be entered into the cell. These cells are marked with a red triangle in the top-right corner. To view the information in the notes box, hover your mouse over the cell. | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Printing the Template | The page breaks in the Improvement Plan have been set for optimal printing on a legal size (8.5 x 14) sheet of paper with the page orientation set to Landscape (horizontal). However, users may find that after completing the plan the page breaks need to be adjusted. To insert, move, or delete page breaks in an Excel worksheet, visit the Microsoft Office help page. | https://support.office.com/en-us/article/insert-move-or-delete-page-breaks-in-a-worksheet-ad3dc726-beec-4a4c-861f- ed640612bdc2 |

| Instructions | |
|--------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | | | | Campus | Information | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|---|-------------|---|--|
| District Name | San Antonio ISD | Campus Name | Ogden Academy | Superintendent | Pedro Martinez | Principal | Nikki Martinez (Elementary) Rachelle Davis (Middle) | |
| District Number | 015907 | Campus Number | 00000157 | District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) | Angelica Romero | ESC Support | 20 | |
| DCSI | support mechanisms to ensure | e the successful implemation requirements. If I a | est that I will provide or facilitate the p entation of the Targeted Improvement Im the principal supervisor, I understan | rovision of all the necessary d Plan for this campus. I unders | stand I am responsible for the | | Angelica Romero - October 25, 2019 | |
| Principal Supervisor (Only necessary if the DCSI is NOT the Principal supervisor) | i, as supervisor of the principal for this campus, attest that I will coordinate with the DCsi to provide or facilitate the provision of all the necessary district-level commitments and support mechanisms mechanisms mechanisms the principal supervisor of | | | | | | | |
| Principal | I, as principal for this campus, attest that I will coordinate with the DCSI (and my supervisor, if they are not the same person) to use the district-provided commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this campus. I agree to carry out the plan elements as indicated herein. Nikki Martinez (Elementary) - October 25, 2019 Rachelle Davis (Middle) - October 25, 2019 | | | | | | | |
| Board Approval Date | 2019-11-11 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Needs | Assessment | | | |
| | | | What accountability goals for each Domain has your campus set for the year? | Domain 1 :75; Domain 2: 80, Do | omain 3: 73 | | | |
| Data Analysis Questions What changes in student group and subject performance are included in these goals? What changes in student group and subject performance are included in these goals? Our student achiever Domain 2 goal in all *66% of all scholars si *65% of Hispanic scholars in these goals? *64% of SPED scholars in *66% of controlled scholars in *66% of *67% of non-controlled scholars in *66% of *67% of | | | Domain 2 goal in all tested grac *66% of all scholars show growt *65% of Hispanic scholars show *64% of eco dis scholars show grov *9% of SPED scholars show grov *64% of ELL scholars in US 4+ yr *66% of controlled scholars sho *67% of non-controlled scholars | ement outcomes are below where they need to be across the board, so we want to see at least 75% of students performing better on STAAR this year than last year, which will inf ll tested grades, and clear the targets for all subgroups, for Domain 3: show growth in Reading. (242 scholars); 71% of all scholars show growth in Reading. (239 scholars); 69% of Hispanic scholars show growth in Math. (253 scholars) holars show growth in Reading. (235 scholars); 68% of eco dis scholars show growth in Math. (250 scholars) so show growth in Reading. (235 scholars); 68% of eco dis scholars show growth in Math. (250 scholars) so sin US 4+ yrs show growth in Reading. (18 scholars); 61% of SPED scholars show growth in Math. (18 scholars) so in US 4+ yrs show growth in Reading. (203 scholars); 71% of controlled scholars show growth in Math. (218 scholars) lled scholars show growth in Reading. (40 scholars); 70% of non-controlled scholars show growth in Math. (42 scholars) takers will make progress over last year. | | | | |
| | | | If applicable, what goals has your campus set for CCMR and Graduation Rate? | n/a | | | | |
| | | | | | essment Results | | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | npus HAS NOT had an ESF Di ssment Tool to complete t | <u> </u> | | |
| | | Essential Act | | The completed Jen-Asse | Sometic roof to complete t | | ntation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) | |
| 1.1 Develop campus instru | uctional leaders with clear roles | and responsibilities. | | | 4 | • | | |
| 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, | induct and retain a full staff of | highly qualified educat | ors. | | 3 | | | |

| 3.1 Compelling and aligne | d vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. | 3 | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.1 Curriculum and assess | ments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| 5.1 Objective-driven daily | lesson plans with formative assessments. | 3 | 3 | | | | |
| 5.3 Data-driven instruction | 1. | 3 | | | | | |
| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | | |
| Essential Action | 5.3 Data-driven instruction. | 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. | 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. | | | | |
| Rationale | In our progress monitoring for 2018-19, we failed to assess and address the strongest measures associated with STAAR and TEKS performance. We are in the process of developing strong interim assessments (benchmarks), aligned to the content being covered on the STAAR exams, and specific plans for how, when, and what resources to utilize when spiraling, reteaching, and reassessing the TEKS with which our scholars struggle. Last year, our teachers and leaders implemented strong systems to collectively and regularly analyze assessments to determine student misconceptions, identify instructional gaps, and create detailed action plans to address particular knowledge and skills with specific scholars and groups of scholars. Stronger TEKS alignment and a focus on STAAR will help optimize these systems, and will inform how and when to modify the research-based core curriculum for students versus how and when to utilize designated remediation sessions to close these gaps. | Though we made positive strides to improve student culture and saw growth, particularly in middle school in 2018-19, classroom management in many classrooms at Relay Lab Schools continues to be more reactive than proactive, teachers and leaders struggle with managing persistent misbehaviors, and not all scholars are academically engaged during instruction and practice. | It is difficult to establish strong culture, institutional knowledge, and curricular expertise when staff turnover is high. If we could retain high-performing staff at a higher rate, then we could launch each school year with a baseline of principles and cultures to continue to build our staff culture, rather than having to start over and repeat basic trainings each year. Research supports the positive effects of retaining long-term staff versus training new staff. We believe we would see more consistency with high behavioral and expectations for students that would, ultimately, lead to stronger emotional, social, and academic outcomes for our students. We could leverage more peer-to-peer observation and build stronger classroom and grade level leaders. | | | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | Students are able to complete rigorous work aligned to grade-level standards: Each grade-level will meet individual grade-level thresholds that are detailed in the "Student Data" tab, which reflect 5-15% growth of standards mastery from quarter to quarter. All subgroups (Hispanic, EcoDis, ELL, SPED, TELPAS) will meet state target for Reading and Math growth in Closing the Gap domain. (This could be the specificity under the Data Analysis section above. Just clarify the numbers needed and the change that will be required to hit the targets.) Standards Mastery will be assessed in quarterly trackers that are sourced from TEKS-aligned interim assessments (benchmarks) given quarterly and content-specific remediation lessons. We will see 75% of scholars meet the growth measure in both reading and math by end of year. | We create an inclusive culture of positive, clear expectations that allows all students to be engaged and feel supported at school. We will work with external partners to acquire, implement and test student-driven quantitative and qualitative data collection tools. This will allow us to gauge student engagement directly and get student guidance in setting goals in this focus area. Pending confirmation of data tools, we want to see at least 90% of students agree or strongly agree that they feel supported at school. | We will improve support for teachers and leaders with tools to drive student, growth, and achievement. We will create an environment that allows staff to feel consistently engaged and supported at school. When teachers are more supported, they will be successful with kids, and they will stay with our schools for the long term. Therefore, 90% of lead teachers will lead their students to grade-level threshold goals (see "Student Data" tab), which reflects 5-15% growth from quarter to quarter. Standards Mastery will be tracked through quarterly trackers (largely driven by Interim Assessments), and instructional leadership will be deployed based on student outputs. | | | | |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | While we want to ensure we have plenty of data points and constantly drive instructional decisions with data, we also want to balance the volume of data and the amount of time spent testing with actually coaching teachers to effectively respond to the data. We will have to strategically maximize and balance coaching and analysis meetings. In addition, we are working to avoid over-testing in order to (A) reduce testing fatigue with our scholars, and (B) maximize instructional time to ensure that we cover content and have time to remediate as needed. | We have not yet acquired all the management strategies to adequately address and redirect students, especially addressing persistent misbehaviors, and creating an inclusive, culturally responsive environment for ALL students. Far too much instructional time is wasted simply managing, rather than teaching. Often, our leaders exhaust all the resources they have trying to reshape persistent scholar behavior. Rather than having teachers depend on leader intervention or student removal, we need to do a better job of engaging ALL stakeholdersteachers, parents, students AND leadersin developing and constantly communicating about strategies to help these scholars academically re-engage and reshape behavior patterns. | While instructional leadership teams offer layers of support based around observation-feedback and weekly data meetings, we are eager to put student outcomes first and foremost in our teacher coaching. This is a shift from the primarily input-driven coaching. In regards to retention and recruitment, because so many other schools in the San Antonio areawithin and outside of SAISD-competitively recruiting great talent, it is difficult to source and recruit strong teachers willing to take on the challenges of working in a multi-year IR campus. We have experienced challenges in hiring up our residents at our schools and have faced challenges crafting the most sensible gradual on ramp for our residents. Our challenge is to create a staff culture that is so strong that high-performing teachers want to choose to stay at Ogden because they deeply respect and care for the leadership, other staff, and students. | | | | |

District Commitment Theory of Action:

If the principal supervisor (Relay Lab Schools) provides regular coaching to the principals on the implementation of strong culture-building and behavior management strategies and data-driven instruction principles, the principals develop the instructional leadership team to effectively use data and positive discipline strategies to coach, develop plans, and hold themselves and teachers accountable for constantly collecting and responding to student data, and if the district (SAISD) connects the campus with district resources to support special populations, aides with Progress Monitoring that is TEKS-aligned, aides in recruitment of high-performing teachers, and assists with navigating the district process for supporting teachers whose students are not mastering grade level content, we believe we will see dramatic improvement in culture and accelerated student achievement at Ogden Academy across contents and grade levels.

ESF Diagnostic Results

(To be completed AFTER the campus engages in the shared diagnostic with an ESF Facilitator)

| | Date of ESF Diagnostic | | 2019-10-15 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Prioritized Focus Are | ea #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | | |
| Essential Action | 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values expectations. | focused on a safe environment and high | 5.3 Data-driven instruction. | | | | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | 3.1 Positive School Culture During each of the focus meetings, staff was unable to campus or state the creation and refinement of each mission and vision but was unable to locate these electory were provided. There was no consistency in processes secondary grade levels. The elementary demonstrated 3 year influence of the Relay tenets but grades 5-8 obsinconsistent implementation. | Staff looked for evidence of the tronically or hard copy. No artifacts between the elementary and I standardized implementation of the | 5.3 Data-Driven Instruction No visible student progress tracking artifact, which is regularly updated was provided or observed. No campus hallways displayed any visible student progress tracking artifacts. No TEKS digs or utilization. No artifacts or observations to support campus reteaching practices/strategies/techniques. | | | | | |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | 3.1 Positive School Culture Orden Academy leadership perceives school culture as a work in progress, with a number of practices in formative stages of implementation. Classroom structures and routines are inconsistently implemented across the campus. Campus leadership and the staff shared the need for clear, specific, high expectations for adults and students in the building. At the post-site visit, the DCSIs stated that mission, vision and value work had been completed during the summer but had not been shared with campus staff. | | 5.3 Data-Driven Instruction was Staff expressed a lack of confidence in the previous year's assessments and were hopeful that campus work in this area would improve student data used to drive instruction and monitor learning. A lack of accurate and effective assessments is a barrier to targeted instruction and improved student performance. During the site visit, the staff stated that a lack of training for all staff in TEKS unpacking, usage and effective implementation was a significant impact on the effectiveness of instruction and the progress of student learning. Leadership discussed a need for targeted campus wide training and support of reteaching and intervention processes | | | | | |

District Commitment Theory of Action

If the principal supervisor (Relay Lab Schools) provides regular coaching to the principals on the implementation of strong culture-building and behavior management strategies and data-driven instruction principles, the principals develop the instructional leadership team to effectively use data and positive discipline strategies to coach, develop plans, and hold themselves and teachers accountable for constantly collecting and responding to student data, and if the district (SAISD) connects the campus with district resources to support special populations, aides with Progress Monitoring that is TEKS-aligned, aides in recruitment of high-performing teachers, and assists with navigating the district process for supporting teachers whose students are not mastering grade level content, we believe we will see dramatic improvement in culture and accelerated student achievement at Ogden Academy across contents and grade levels.

| Prioritized Focus Areas for Improvement | Capacity Builder |
|---|------------------|
| 3.1 | |
| 5.3 | |

| Foundations |
|-------------|
|-------------|

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |

| | Student Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--|--------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|---|--------|--------|--|
| | | % of Students at Campus Determined Proficiency Level | | | | | | | | | % of Students at Meets Grade Level on STAAR or Other Assessment | | | |
| Grade level / Subject tested | | Cycle 1 | | | Cycle 2 | | | Cycle 3 | | | Sumi | mative | | |
| | Data Source | Goal | Actual | Data Source | Goal | Actual | Data Source | Goal | Actual | Data Source | Floor | Goal | Actual | |
| Grade 8 Math | Other | 20 | 16 | Simulation | 30 | 17 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 27 | 50 | | |
| Grade 8 Science | MAP | 30 | 12 | MAP | 40 | 12 | Other | 50 | | STAAR | 19 | 60 | | |
| Grade 8 Social Studies | Other | 30 | 2 | assessment | 40 | * | Other | 50 | | STAAR | 20 | 60 | | |
| Grade 8 Reading | Other | 20 | 13 | Simulation | 30 | 13 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 24 | 50 | | |
| Grade 7 Math | Other | 25 | 18 | RLS Interim | 35 | 20 | Other | 45 | | STAAR | 27 | 50 | | |
| Grade 7 Writing | Other | 20 | 4 | Simulation | 30 | 0 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 18 | 50 | | |
| Grade 7 Reading | Other | 20 | 15 | RLS Interim | 30 | 8 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 24 | 50 | | |
| Grade 6 Math | Other | 35 | 12 | RLS Interim | 45 | 13 | Other | 55 | | STAAR | 27 | 60 | | |
| Grade 6 Reading | Other | 35 | 11 | RLS Interim | 45 | 15 | Other | 55 | | STAAR | 24 | 60 | | |
| Grade 5 Math | Other | 20 | 17 | Simulation | 30 | 8 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 27 | 50 | | |
| Grade 5 Reading | Other | 20 | 12 | Simulation | 30 | 19 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 24 | 50 | | |
| Grade 5 Science | MAP | 20 | 0 | MAP | 30 | 6 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 19 | 50 | | |
| Grade 4 Math | Other | 30 | 12 | RLS Interim | 40 | 19 | Other | 50 | | STAAR | 24 | 60 | | |
| Grade 4 Writing | Other | 20 | 0 | Simulation | 30 | 1 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 18 | 50 | | |
| Grade 4 Reading | Other | 30 | 8 | RLS Interim | 40 | 7 | Other | 50 | | STAAR | 24 | 60 | | |
| Grade 3 Math | Other | 30 | 7 | RLS Interim | 40 | 5 | Other | 50 | | STAAR | 27 | 60 | | |
| Grade 3 Reading | Other | 20 | 8 | RLS Interim | 30 | 10 | Other | 40 | | STAAR | 24 | 50 | | |

^{*} Will take Social Studies in March

| | | Cycle 1 90-day Action Plan (September - November) | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 |
| Essential Action | 5.3 Data-driven instruction.3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. | 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations.5.3 Data-driven instruction. | 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | 80%(16 of 20) of Each class/cohort of students will meet or exceed grade-level thresholds (5-15%) growth from interim assessment (benchmark) 1 to interim assessment (benchmark) 2 in every subject. Campus leaders and teachers regularly review student data and monitor progress for all groups. Students are monitoring their own progress toward measurable outcomes to foster ownership and goal setting. | We will implement one round of the Stanford PERTS (substituted with Social Emotional Academic Development survey) student engagement survey to gauge student culture directly from students. This will help foster a shared ownership of school culture amongst teachers, leaders, and students, with a common lens of high expectations. | 80% of teachers (16 of 20) will lead their class/cohort of students to meet or exceed grade level thresholds (5-15%)growth from interim assessment (benchmark) 1 to interim assessment (benchmark) 2 in every subject. Campus leaders implement targeted and personalized strategies to support and retain staff, particularly high-performing staff. |
| Barriers to Address During this Cycle | Math, Science, and Social Studies interim assessments cover new content each round (as distinct content is taught each unit), so it may be difficult to make 10% growth from IA 1 to IA 2. | As it is the start of the school year, some scholars will not have fully adjusted to the behavior expectations reestablished for their new grade levels and classrooms. Leaders and teachers will need to build strong relationships with each scholar, quickly, in order to reinvest scholars who are struggling to fully engage in instruction because of their inability to meet behavioral expectations. Likewise, newer staff also struggle with management at the beginning of the year and do not utilize enough Tier 1 interventions to prevent misbehaviors. They may not respond in ways that are culturally responsive or mission-aligned. | As it is the beginning of the year and many of our veteran staff are new to Ogden systems and cultu these are lofty goals. Instructional leaders will need to build and improve on a culture of practice an feedback in coaching meetings and provide adjusting feedback to veteran teachers before student outcomes come out to ensure teachers are clear on specific strengths, areas for growth, and strateg to improve. With Interim Assessment data coming, coaches will then tie actions to improving stude outcomes. |
| District Actions for this Cycle | Ogden instructional leaders (principals, coaches, and directors of instruction) will hold analysis meetings with all teachers after each round of interim assessments and will craft specific action plans for reteaching, readdressing, and spiraling the skills on which students struggle. Instructional leaders will meet weekly with all teachers (weekly data meetings) to respond to formative assessment data aligned to the interim assessment data to better monitor progress and will engage teachers in weekly, real-time coaching of instruction to effectively respond to this data. The RLS instructional superintendent will meet regularly with school principals to review data and plans to respond to trends in interim assessment data and will conduct informal walkthroughs will leaders to ensure normed rigor in coaching and evaluation. | Ogden school leaders (principals, instructional leaders, coaches, and deans of culture) will collaborate to train and real-time coach staff struggling to build strong classroom culture and management with culturally responsive, positive, proactive strategies to minimize instructional time lost redirecting and addressing student misbehaviors. The RLS instructional superintendent will evaluate culture regularly and provide clear recommendations and action plans to address trends that may be impeding learning. The instructional superintendent will hold school leaders accountable for following-through with these plans and will help troubleshoot issues as they arise. SAISD will provide support and guidance to school principals at Ogden (as needed) to help create intervention plans for students whose behavior persistently disrupts their own learning, as well as their peers. | Instructional coaches will observe and provide informal feedback to every teacher at weekl intervals. Instructional leaders will model key strategies for and provide real-time feedback to teachers who are struggling in specific domains to ensure growth between informal and formal observations, and between Interim Assessments. The Instructional Superintendent in collaboration with principals, will reflect on State of Schools dashboard, weekly surveys, and weekly data to ensure all teacher concerns are addressed in a timely and supportive fashion. |
| District Commitments Theory of Action | data and positive discipline strategies to coach, develop plans, and hold themselves and | Is on the implementation of strong culture-building and behavior management strategies and data-driv d teachers accountable for constantly collecting and responding to student data, and if the district (SAI: assists with navigating the district process for supporting teachers whose students are not mastering g | SD) connects the campus with district resources to support special populations, aides with Progress |

| Milestones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Culture Bellwether Walkthrough 1 complete and all follow-up action steps executed | _ | Four Thursdays in September for all grade-levels | Bellwether walkthrough evaluation protocol and rubric(s) | Unstructional Superintendent | Bellwether Culture Rubric and Action Plan | 27-Sep | Met | None |
| MAP Analysis completed in conjunction with SAISD, with action- step and instructional grouping completed | 1 | Sept- early October | SAISD, MAP data | Instructional Superintendent, Director of Special Projects | MAP Analysis and Action Plan | 15-Oct | Met | Analyze and compare growth results from BOY MAP to MOY MAP. |

| State of Schools meetings occur weekly with school leadership | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|--|--------|----------------------|---|--|
| teams, utilizing fully-functioning and weekly-updated dashboard, with weekly tracking of action steps for all leaders on student/staff culture and DDI/Instruction | V 1 V 2 V 3 | Weekly, Aug Nov | Dashboard, MDIs | Instructional Superintendent | State of Schools dashboard and weekly agenda | 26-Sep | On Track | Meetings occur every Thursday, with action steps executed weekly | |
| Interim Assessment 1 Cycle complete (Interim assessments administered, data collected in Eduphoria, data processed and entered into trackers, analysis meetings conducted, action plans created, key actions executed on); Develop and test standards mastery tracking system | ▼1 ▼2 ▼3 | 9/16-9/24 | External curriculum consultants, teachers | Instructional Superintendent, Managing Directors of Instruction | Interim Assessment trackers and action plans | 15-Oct | On Track | Make more user friendly for the network leaders. Next steps: Monitor action plans that result from the Interim Assessment Cycle. | |
| Culture Bellwether Walkthrough 2 complete and all follow-up action steps executed | v 1 v 2 v 3 | Oct-Nov | Bellwether walkthrough evaluation protocol and rubric(s) | Instructional Superintendent | Bellwether Culture Rubric and Action Plan | 20-Nov | On Track | Creation of 6-8 weeks playbooks that identify specific instructional leader goals and teacher action steps they need to meet to improve student academic culture. Next Steps: Monitor goals that resulting from the Bellwether and campus walkthroughs. | |
| Interim Assessment 2 Cycle complete (Interim assessments administered, data collected in Eduphoria, data processed and entered into trackers, analysis meetings conducted, action plans created, key actions executed on) | ▼ 1 □ 2 ▼ 3 | 11/5-11/20 | External curriculum consultants, teachers | Instructional Superintendent, Managing Directors of Instruction | Interim Assessment trackers and action plans | 25-Nov | On Track | Aligned Interim Assessments created; currently testing IA cycle 1 for ways to improve cycle | |
| Coaching meetings executed weekly with all teachers and grade- levels, with Weekly Data Meetings starting Week 9 to tie together Observation Feedback meetings (aligned to Get Better Faster) and T TESS | v 1 v 2 v 3 | Aug-Sept | RLS Leadership Team, Relay NPAI Team | Instructional Leaders (led by Principals) | State of Schools: WDMs, OFMs, Action Steps, Whetstone | 15-Oct | On Track | OFM meetings off to a good start with all teachers; leaders are getting coached on WDM execution. Adjustment: Look Forward Meetings have taken the place of Weekly Data Meetings | |
| Explore student survey options to establish student voice and valid student-led culture metric | 1 2 • 3 | Sept- Oct | Deans of Culture; Dallas ISD; TNTP | Executive Director, Executive Principal | Analysis of student surveys and implementation plan | 30-Oct | Met | Adjustment made of using the district SEAD Survey versus the Stanford PERTS Survey. | |
| Round 1 of T-TESS Observations complete | ¥ 1 ¥ 2 ¥ 3 | Sept- Jan | RLS Leadership Team, Relay NPAI Team | Instructional Leaders (led by Principals) | Leader Calendars, Performance Matters | 20-Nov | Significant Progress | Norming has begun with leadership team on observations | |
| | - | | Reflection and Pla | anning for Next 90-Day | y Cycle | | | | |
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | Priority Area 1 has been partially met. Adjustments were made to align the desired 90 day outcomes for priority 1 and 3. Of the tests taken so far 4/11 (36%) of teachers met or exceeded Grade level threshold performance increase goals from Interim Assessment 1 to Interim Assessment 2 (Math, Reading, Writing). Elementary ELA and 7th grade writing Interim Assessments will be available the first we of December and an update will be provided. Campus leaders and teachers regularly review student data and monitor progress for all groups. We are at the beginning stages of students monitoring their own progress toward measurable outcomes because we are revamping the structure of goal setting to reflect specific skill mastery as opposed to setting goals regarding percentage increase that lack meanir for students. We met Priority Area 2. In lieu of the Stanford PERTS, we administered the district required SEAD Survey that provided similar data. 3rd-5th Graders scored the following: self-awareness scored at 56%, Self monitoring was at 54%, Growth mindset was at 49%, 72% feel they have a positive student-teacher relationship, 62% feel they belong and 41% feel safe at school. In 6th-8th, Self awareness was at 45%, Self management was at 48%, Growth mindset scored at 38%, 45% have grit, 52% feel they have a positive student teacher relationships, 36% feel they belong and 48% feel their school is safe. This results were in alignment with those of the district. In regards to Focus Area 3, we have met it and made an adjustment. As opposed to focusing on weekly Data Meetings we are now having Look Forward Meetings to help teachers plan for upcoming lessons. Campus leaders are implementing targeted and personalized strategies to support and retain staff [Anonymous Staff Survey] (modeled off of Insight Survey), Weekly Survey, Campus Leadership Team]. | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data ² | Γab)? Why or why | not? | No, students did not meet the Meets or Exceeds rating on the Interim Assessment. The reteach data has shown improvement in standards, however Tier 1 instruction needs to improve. Teachers need to look at data daily to determine what to reteach the following day, versus waiting for the interim assessments. | | | | | | |
| | | | | Carryover Milestones | | | New Milestones | | |

| | Complete TTESS Observations (This was scheduled to be completed in January.) | 1. Utilize Lead4ward student learning continuum to revamp the structure of goal setting to reflect |
|---|--|--|
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working | | specific skill mastery as opposed to setting goals regarding percentage increase that lack meaning for |
| on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle? | | students. 2. Campus leaders are implementing targeted and personalized strategies to support and |
| | | retain staff [Anonymous Staff Survey (modeled off of Insight Survey), Weekly Survey, Campus |
| | | Leadership Team]. 3. Monitor action plans that result from the Interim Assessment Cycle. |
| | | |

| | | | Cycle 2 90-Day Action Plan (December-February) | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--|--|---|
| | | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 |
| Essential Actio | on | 5.3 Data-driven instruction.3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. | 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations.5.3 Data-driven instruction. | 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. |
| Desired 90-day | y Outcome | Each class/cohort of students will meet or exceed grade-level thresholds (5- 15%) growth from interim assessment (benchmark) 2 to interim assessment (benchmark) 3 in every subject. | We will explore data analysis tools and systems to gather goals and metrics directly tied to student voice of their classroom culture. We will implement at least one form of qualitative or quantitative data collection directly from students. | 80% of lead teachers will lead their class/cohort of students to meet or exceed grade-level thresholds (5-15%) growth from interim assessment (benchmark) 2 to interim assessment (benchmark) 3 in every subject. |
| Barriers to Add this Cycle | | As we progress to the new calendar year, there might be a need to redistribute teachers, students, and leadership resources to best suit student needs, which might lead to some continuity challenges. Math, Science, and Social Studies interim assessments cover new content each round (as distinct content is taught each unit), so it may be difficult to make 10% growth from IA 1 to IA 2. | As we have found, the start of the new calendar year is a vital opportunity to reset expectations and culture, so will emphasize the importance of this with all teachers. It is still unclear on the exact tool for using student-directed goals and data collection for student | The past two academic years, we have faced unexpected transitions with teachers, so will be important to ensure we are proactively avoiding teacher departures, filling in teacher holes as quickly and strategically as possible, and best supporting all teachers throughout the year. Similarly to Cycle 1, these are lofty goals, and maximizing time in instructional coaching meetings and regular internalization meetings will be paramount. |
| District Actions | s for this Cycle | Ogden instructional leaders (principals, coaches, and directors of instruction) will hold analysis meetings with all teachers after each round of interim assessments and will craft specific action plans for reteaching, readdressing, and spiraling the skills on which students struggle. Instructional leaders will meet weekly with all teachers (weekly data meetings) to respond to formative assessment data aligned to the interim assessment data to better monitor progress and will engage teachers in weekly, real-time coaching of instruction to effectively respond to this data. The RLS instructional superintendent will meet regularly with school principals to review data and plans to respond to trends in interim assessment data and will conduct informal walkthroughs will leaders to ensure normed rigor in coaching and evaluation. | Ogden school leaders (principals, instructional leaders, coaches, and deans of culture) will collaborate to train and real-time coach staff struggling to build strong classroom culture and management with culturally responsive, positive, proactive strategies to minimize instructional time lost redirecting and addressing student misbehaviors. The RLS instructional superintendent will evaluate culture regularly and provide clear recommendations and action plans to address trends that may be impeding learning. The instructional superintendent will hold school leaders accountable for following-through with these plans and will help troubleshoot issues as they arise. SAISD will provide support and guidance to school principals at Ogden (as needed) to help create intervention plans for students whose behavior persistently disrupts their own learning, as well as their peers. | Instructional coaches will observe and provide informal feedback to every teacher at weekly intervals. Instructional leaders will model key strategies for and provide real-time feedback to teachers who are struggling in specific domains to ensure growth between informal and formal observations, and between Interim Assessments. The Instructional Superintendent, in collaboration with principals, will reflect on State of Schools dashboard, weekly surveys, and weekly data to ensure all teacher concerns are addressed in a timely and supportive fashion. |
| | | If the principal supervisor (Relay Lab Schools) provides regular coaching to the principal | uls on the implementation of strong culture-huilding and behavior management strategies and data-driv | ven instruction principles, the principals develop the instructional leadership team to effectively use data and positive discipline |

of Action

District Commitments Theory of Action of Strong culture building and behavior management strategies and data-driven instruction principals develop the instructional leadership team to effectively use data and positive discipline strategies to coach, develop plans, and hold themselves and teachers accountable for constantly collecting and responding to student data, and if the district (SAISD) connects the campus with district resources to support special populations, aides with Progress Monitoring that is TEKS-aligned, aides in recruitment of high-performing teachers, and assists with navigating the district process for supporting teachers whose students are not mastering grade level content, we believe we will see dramatic improvement in culture and accelerated student achievement at Ogden Academy across contents and grade levels.

| Milestones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| MAP MOY Analysis and Action Plan | v 1 2 v 3 | January | SAISD Research Team, Dashboard | Instructional Superintendent | MAP Analysis and Action Plan | 31-Jan | Met | Continue to work with leaders on executing the action steps planned. Adjust leadership responsibilities to focus on areas of greatest need at each campus. |
| Interim Assessment 3 Cycle complete (Interim assessments administered, data collected in Eduphoria, data processed and entered into trackers, analysis meetings conducted, action plans created, key actions executed on) | v 1 2 v 3 | 1/14/20-2/12/20 | External curriculum consultants, | Instructional Superintendent, Managing Directors of Instruction | Interim Assessment trackers and action plans | 28-Feb | On Track | Our IA Cycle was moved back to allow us to complete the district STAAR Simulation. Now that all assessments are taken, we are in the midst of Specific Teacher and campus Action Plan creation related to adjusting tutoring groups, areas of focusing for tutoring and identifying areas of need for specific grade levels and teaching (coaching/teaching assignments). |

| State of Schools meetings occur weekly with school leadership teams, utilizing fully-functioning and weekly-updated dashboard, with weekly tracking of action steps for all leaders on student/staff culture and DDI/Instruction | ¥ 1 ¥ 2 ¥ 3 | Weekly, Jan-Feb | Dashboard, MDIs | Instructional Superintendent | State of Schools dashboard and weekly agenda | 31-Jan | On Track | Meetings occur every Wednesday and Thursday, with action steps executed weekly. We made significant changes to the State of the Schools meeting template based on data and feedback received by staff (For example we are no longer focusing on completion of interventions, we are looking at mastery as indicated by students exit tickets). |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|--|--------|---------------|---|
| Implement Round of Student Culture data collection | □1 ••2 □3 | Jan-Feb | External vendor or partner, students | Executive Director, Executive Principal | Data Analysis and Action Steps | 28-Feb | On Track | We have decided not to use an external vendor for a student culture survey this year, however we look at student culture data including behavior and attendance as part of weekly State of the Schools meetings. In addition, leaders have held informal roundtable conversations with students about improving student culture. These roundtable conversations have led us to revamp our student culture rubric document, include specific elements to the rubric on the need for increasing student voice, student engagement, and proactive measures to student discipline. This revised rubric is being used in our culture walkthroughs we are facilitating. |
| Utilize Lead4ward student learning report to track student progress towards mastery of specific skills in math. | ♥1 □2 □3 | Dec-Feb | Lead4ward Learning Continuum, Analysis and instructional plans for each Interim Assessment Cycle, Interim assessment Data | Managing Director of Instruction, Teachers | Data Analysis, Student Goal Sheets, Teacher Goal Sheets, classroom display | 2Feb. | Some Progress | We have provided time for students to make specific goals around MAP and specific goals related to ELA and Math State test prep data we are collecting weekly. Now that we are completing our IA cycle, we want our teachers and students to use this new and fresh data to determine specific student friendly language goals that they will monitor weekly following each exit ticket in ELA and Math. To do this, we are revising the lead4ward document so that it specifies the specific skill students are working to master weekly. They will be provided a moment after completing exit tickets and receiving data to self-assess whether they mastered the skill(s). |
| Campus leaders are implementing targeted and personalized strategies to support and retain staff (Anonymous Staff Survey (modeled off of Insight Survey), Weekly Survey, Campus Leadership Team) | 1 2 | Dec-Feb | Weekly Survey | Principals | CLT Minutes, Weekly Survey Link | 31-Jan | On Track | This past month school leaders had face to face conversations with most staff members asking about their likelihood of staying. For staff we want to retain we are engaging with different opportunities at the school. We are continuing our weekly surveys and CLT meetings to get regular feedback from staff. In addition, we have included a tracker to ensure leaders are following up with teachers around feedback/concerns they provide to us. |
| Monitor action plans that result from the Interim Assessment Cycle. | y 1 y 2 3 | Dec-Feb | Action Plan | Instructional Coaches, MDI | Walkthroughs with a focus on implementation of action plan (Goals), Student Data | 31-Jan | Met | Interim assessment cycle is complete. |

| Round 1 of T-TESS Observations complete | 1 v 2 v 3 | Sept- Jan | RLS Leadership Team, Relay NPAF Team | Instructional Leaders (led by Principals) | Leader Calendars, Performance Matters | 30-Jan | Met | Round 1 of T-TESS observations is complete. | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Culture Bellwether Walkthrough 2 complete and all follow-up action steps executed | V 1 V 2 V 3 | Oct-Nov | Bellwether walkthrough evaluation protocol and rubric(s) | Instructional Superintendent | Bellwether Culture Rubric and Action Plan | 25-Feb (One per month) | Met | School leadership team does a culture walkthrough twice a month and uses their focus of the week to collect data. In Middle School this has resulted in a shift in our focus to the following three components: student joy and engagement, increasing student voice, and improving our proactive behavior strategies. In ES we realized there is a need to refine our consequence system in 5th grade. | | |
| January PD Day differentiated for common action steps, specific content foci, and to bring teachers together to revitalize community | ▼1 □2 ▼3 | 6-Jan | External facilitators, instructional leadership team, MDIs | Instructional Superintendent | Exit Tickets from teachers on January PD Day | 7-Jan | Met | received differentiated content and action steps and we built community as a school. One piece of feedback from teachers was the need to increase work time during PD days and upcoming PDs. As a result, we are now integrating at least ap hour of work time during even. | | |
| Reflection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle | | | | | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | exceeded Grade level threshold p monitoring their own progress to We didn't fully meet our goal beca friendly specific goals, create track Assessment. We met Priority Area 2 and contin professional development from the In regards to Focus Area 3, we have | Priority Area 1 has been partially met. Adjustments were made to align the desired 90 day outcomes for priority 1 and 3. Of the tests taken (Interim Assessments or STAAR Released assessments 5/22 (23%) of teachers met or exceeded Grade level threshold performance increase goals from Assessment 2 to Assessment 3 (Math, Reading, Writing). Campus leaders and teachers regularly review student data and monitor progress for all groups. Students monitoring their own progress toward measurable outcomes and have revamped the structure of goal setting to reflect specific skill mastery as opposed to setting goals regarding percentage increase that lack meaning for students. We didn't fully meet our goal because while we are monitoring student progress, teachers and leaders are not yet hyper focused on individual student progress skill to skill. As a result of this, we are looking to create more student friendly specific goals, create trackers with specific student information so we are constantly mindful of each student's need, and we've adjusted our goal setting so that this is done week to week versus Interim Assessment. We met Priority Area 2 and continue to use data from the first 90 days to integrate into look fors for these next 90 days. we also continue to utilize district support through other surveys required by the district as well as integrating professional development from the Districts Special Education department, with a focus in SEAD and Communities in schools. In regards to Focus Area 3, we have met it and made an adjustment. we are now including both Weekly Data Meetings and Look Forwards for 5th and 8th grade due to the earlier testing dates. In December we began talking to stal about returning next year and are engaging with them to see what opportunities there are next year. | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not? | | | No, students did not meet the Meets or Exceeds rating on the Interim Assessment or Simulation Data. The reteach data has shown improvement in standards, however Tier 1 instruction continues to be a focus of improvement. Teachers will continue to look at data daily to determine what to reteach the following day, versus waiting for the interim assessments. We are also focusing on level of student engagement in the lesson. We didn't fully meet our goal because while we are monitoring student progress, teachers and leaders are not yet hyper focused on individual student progress skill to skill. As a result of this, we are looking to create more student friendly specific goals, create trackers with specific student information so we are constantly mindful of each student's need, and we've adjusted our goal setting so that this is done week to week versus Interim Assessment to Interim Assessment. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Carryover Milestones | | | New Milesto | ones | | |
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle? | | | We are going to utilize the Lead4ward student learning report and modifying the format to track student progress towards mastery of specific skills weekly in math. | | | lise student daily Trankers to asse | ss mastery of skills weekly and to i | nform reteaches for the following week and the content of o | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | ose stadent daily mackers to asse | os mastery or skins weekly and to i | | | |

| | | Cycle 3 90-Day Action Plan (March-May) | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 |
| Essential Action | 5.3 Data-driven instruction.3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. | 3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations.5.3 Data-driven instruction. | 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | Each class/cohort of students will meet or exceed grade-level thresholds (5-15%)growth from interim assessment (benchmark) 3 to interim assessment (benchmark) 4 in every subject. | We will move from data collection to action with student culture, particularly emphasizing student voice in improving their engagement and experience. Once we gather the tools, we will set metrics to monitor ourselves against. | 80% of lead teachers will lead their class/cohort of students to meet or exceed grade-level thresholds (5-15%) growth from interim assessment (benchmark) 3 to interim assessment (benchmark) 4 in every subject |
| Barriers to Address During this Cycle | In the push to STAAR, we will be very data-rich, but must ensure we are information and insight-rich as well in order to equip teachers and leaders with the highest leverage data to drive toward successful outcomes on the end | Once the end of the school year hits, there is often a laser-like focus on STAAR, which can inadvertently create extra pressure for students and teachers. We have seen this negatively impact student culture and take priorities away from classroom management. | (benchmark) 4 in every subject. Similar to student cutture, we order see a dip in stan cutture as the focus overwhemming becomes STAAR. Teachers and leaders also start looking toward the following school year and their roles- eithe at our school or another. Challenges will be to keep teacher focus on their students' growth and applied a smoothing place trajectories for their roles for the 30.31 school year. |
| District Actions for this Cycle | and spiraling the skills on which students struggle. Instructional leaders will | collaborate to train and real-time coach staff struggling to build strong classroom culture and management with culturally responsive, positive, proactive strategies to minimize instructional time lost redirecting and addressing student misbehaviors. The RLS also of the time to strategies and data-arm | Instride.comarclibat.rels with ovisterive fant priovales frother The Lutaker Luter at weekly intervals. Instructional leaders will model key strategies for and provide real-time feedback to teachers who are struggling in specific domains to ensure growth between informal and formal observations, and between Interim Assessments. The Instructional Superintendent, in collaboration with principals, will reflect on State of Schools dashboard, weekly suppose |
| District Commitments Theor of Action | data and positive discipline strategies to coach, develop plans, and hold themselves an | as on the implementation of strong culture-building and behavior in languagement strategies and data-ink and the district (SAI) and the district (SAI) are seen that are not mastering glassists with navigating the district process for supporting teachers whose students are not mastering g | SD) connects the campus with district resources to support special populations, aides with Progress |

| Milestones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|---|---|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Interim Assessment 4 Cycle complete (Interim assessments administered, data collected in Eduphoria, data processed and entered into trackers, analysis meetings conducted, action plans created, key actions executed on) | | 3/4/20-3/31/20 | External curriculum consultants, teachers | Instructional Superintendent, Managing Directors of Instruction | Interim Assessment trackers and action plans | 15-Apr | | |
| State of Schools meetings occur weekly with school leadership teams, utilizing fully-functioning and weekly-updated dashboard, with weekly tracking of action steps for all leaders on student/staff culture and DDI/Instruction | | Weekly, Mar-May | Dashboard, MDIs | Instructional Superintendent | State of Schools dashboard and weekly agenda | 15-Apr | | |
| Convening of students and campus leadership teams to assess progress to student culture data collection and student-led goals | | March-May | External vendor or partner, students | Executive Director, Executive Principal | Data Analysis and Action Steps | 31-May | | |
| Re-prioritize daily internalization/tutoring blocks (3:15-4:00) to optimize teacher preparation and student remediation | | Daily, Mar-May | Principals, MDIs | Instructional Superintendent | Formal Relay Lab Schools' rubric- based evaluation of daily internalization/remediation blocks | 31-May | | |
| Use student daily Trackers to assess mastery of skills weekly and to inform reteaches for the following week and the content of our 10 Day ramp towards state exams (TELPAS Practice, Integrating the 7 steps, Better Lesson PD around the 5 practices for Math & utilizing the STAAR Test Prep Frameworks) | | Daily, Mar-May | PD, Trackers | MDI's Coaches, Teachers | Student Trackers | 31-May | | |
| Increase student engagement and student accountable talk during class periods to improve progress in our IAs and towards our STAAR goals | | Daily, Mar-May | Trackers, lead4ward | MDI's Coaches, Teachers | Student Trackers | 31-May | | |

| | Reflection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| id you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| id you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Carryover Milestones | New Milestones | | | | | | | | |
| | s/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working ilestones do you need to add to the next cycle? | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | END OF YEAR REFLECTION | | | | | | | | | |
| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | | | | | | |
| Essential Action | 0 | | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 5.3 Data-Driven Instruction | | | | | | | | | |
| | = | No visible student progress tracking artifact, which is regularly updated was provided or | | | | | | | | | |
| | in the "Student Data" tab, which reflect 5-15% growth of standards mastery | observed. No campus hallways displayed any visible student progress tracking artifacts. No | | | | | | | | | |
| Did the campus achieve the desired outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | | | | |

Cycle 4 90-Day Action Plan (June-August)

The purpose of this 90-Day action plan is to prepare for the upcoming school year.

The essential actions the campus prioritizes may have changed based on progress made in the school year or based on ESF diagnostic results.

| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | | | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | | | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | |
|--|---|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Essential Action | 80%(16 or 20) or Each class/corthresholds (5-15%) growth fror | n interim assessm | ent (benchmark) 1 to interim | | | gauge student culture directly | | | chmark) 1 to interim |
| Rationale | | | | | | | | | |
| How will you communicate these priorities to your stakeholders? How will you invest them? | | | | | | | | | |
| Desired 90-Day Outcome | | | | | | | | | |
| Who will help the campus build capacity in this area? | | | | | | | | | |
| Barriers to Address | | | | | | | | | |
| District Actions for this Cycle | | | | | | | | | |
| District Commitments Theory of Action | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Milestones Prioritized Focus Area | | | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence Used to Determine Progress Toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress Toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments/Nex Steps |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| Milestones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence Used to Determine Progress Toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress Toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps |
|------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Reflection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your summative student performance goals (see Stu | ıdent Data Tab)? W | hy or why not? | | | | | | |
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle? | | | Carryover Milestones | New Milestones | | | | |

| TIP Components | Notes |
|--|---|
| | Foundations |
| Self-Assessment Results | If the campus has had an ESF Diagnostic, DO NOT complete the 'Self-Assessment' section. Continue to the next section titled, 'ESF Diagnostic Results' |
| Essential Action | From the drop down menu, select the Essential Action the campus selected based on the Needs Assessment and/or ESF Final Report. |
| Rationale | Explain the reasons this Essential Action was selected. |
| Desired Annual Outcome for Priority Focus Area | Create your annual goal for each Prioritized Focus Area identified using qualitative and/or quantitative measures. |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area. |
| District Commitment on Theory of Action | State the District Theory of Action and the District Commitments that will support the campus' essential actions found in the plan. |
| Date of ESF Diagnostic | Complete after ESF Diagnostic. |
| Capacity Builder | Capacity Builders can include vetted partners, ESCs, and/or internal district support. |
| | Cycles 1, 2, and 3 90-day Action Plan |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | Describe the specific goals the campus plans to achieve by the end of the cycle for the relevant prioritized focus area. |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area. Barriers may stay the same or change from cycle to cycle. |
| District Actions for this Cycle | List what the district will do to support the campus during this 90-day cycle to achieve the desired outcomes. |
| Milestones | In each row, list actions the campus is taking in this cycle to achieve desired outcomes and address barriers to implementation. An action may address more than one priority focus area. New actions can be added over time, as needed. |
| Prioritized Focus Area | Select the Prioritized Focus Area(s) that is aligned to this milestone. |
| Timeline | Identify a start and end date. End date may carryover to another cycle. |
| Resources Needed | Examples include, but are not limited to: budget allocation, data platforms, personnel, etc. |
| Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Measures can be qualitative or quantitative. |
| Progress toward Milestone | Select the status of the evidence review from the dropdown menu. |
| Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps | List adjustments or next steps the campus will take to achieve this action. Include barriers that limited progress towards |
| | Cycle 4 90-day Action Plan |
| Rationale | Explain the reasons this Essential Action was selected. |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | Describe the specific goals the campus plans to achieve by the end of the cycle for the relevant prioritized focus area. |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area. |
| District Actions for this Cycle | List what the district will do to support the campus during this 90-day cycle to achieve the desired outcomes. |
| Milestones | In each row, list actions the campus is taking in this cycle to achieve desired outcomes and address barriers to |
| Prioritized Focus Area | Select the Prioritized Focus Area(s) that is aligned to this milestone. |
| Timeline | Identify a start and end date. End date may carryover to another cycle. |
| Resources Needed | Examples include, but are not limited to: budget allocation, data platforms, personnel, etc. |
| Evidence used to Determine Progress | Measures can be qualitative or quantitative. |
| Progress toward Milestone | Select the status of the evidence review from the dropdown menu. |
| Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps | List adjustments or next steps the campus will take to achieve this action. Include barriers that limited progress towards |