Lamar Elementary Targeted Improvement Plan 2019-2020



| TIP Components | Notes |
|--|---|
| Foundations | |
| Self-Assessment Results | If the campus has had an ESF Diagnostic, DO NOT complete the 'Self-Assessment' section. Continue to the next section titled, 'ESF Diagnostic Results' |
| Essential Action | From the drop down menu, select the Essential Action the campus selected based on the Needs Assessment and/or ESF Final Report. |
| Rationale | Explain the reasons this Essential Action was selected. |
| Desired Annual Outcome for Priority Focus Area | Create your annual goal for each Prioritized Focus Area identified using qualitative and/or quantitative measures. |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area. |
| District Commitment on Theory of Action | State the District Theory of Action and the District Commitments that will support the campus' essential actions found in the plan. |
| Date of ESF Diagnostic | Complete after ESF Diagnostic. |
| Capacity Builder | Capacity Builders can include vetted partners, ESCs, and/or internal district support. |
| Cycles 1, 2, and 3 90-day Action | Plan |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | Describe the specific goals the campus plans to achieve by the end of the cycle for the relevant prioritized focus area. |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | List barriers to implementation the campus may face as they take the necessary steps to improve the prioritized focus area. Barriers may stay the same or change from cycle to cycle. |
| District Actions for this Cycle | List what the district will do to support the campus during this 90-day cycle to achieve the desired outcomes. |
| Milestones | In each row, list actions the campus is taking in this cycle to achieve desired outcomes and address barriers to implementation. An action may address more than one priority focus area. New actions can be added over time, as needed. |
| Prioritized Focus Area | Select the Prioritized Focus Area(s) that is aligned to this milestone. |
| Timeline | Identify a start and end date. End date may carryover to another cycle. |
| Resources Needed | Examples include, but are not limited to: budget allocation, data platforms, personnel, etc. |
| Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Measures can be qualitative or quantitative. |
| Progress toward Milestone | Select the status of the evidence review from the dropdown menu. |
| Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps | List adjustments or next steps the campus will take to achieve this action. Include barriers that limited progress towards achieving this action. |
| Cycle 4 | |

Not to filled out until summative process has been completed

| | | | | Campus | Information | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| District Name | San Antonio ISD | Campus Name | Lamar Elementary | Superintendent | Pedro Martinez | Principal | Roxanna Balzadua | | |
| District Number | 015907 | Campus Number | 015907146 | District Coordinator of | Doug Dawson | ESC Support | Jamie Goodwin | | |
| District Number | 013907 | Campus Number | 015907146 | School Improvement (DCSI) | Doug Dawson | ESC Support | ESC 20 | | |
| Assurances | | | | | | | | | |
| I, the District Coordinator of School Improvement, attest that I will provide or facilitate the provision of all the necessary district-level commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this campus. I understand I am responsible for the implementation of all intervention requirements. If I am the principal supervisor, I understand I am responsible for ensuring the principal carries out the plan elements as indicated herein. Doug Dawson - 9/13/19 | | | | | | | | | |
| Principal Supervisor (Only necessary if the DCSI is NOT the Principal supervisor) | if the DCSI level commitments and support mechanisms to ensure the principal L supervise can achieve successful implementation of the Targeted Improvement | | | | | | | | |
| Principal | | echanisms to ensure the | inate with the DCSI (and my superviso successful implementation of the Tar | | , . | | | | |
| Board Approval Date | 2019-11-11 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Needs | Assessment | | | | |
| | | | What accountability goals for each Domain has your campus set for the year? | | ata from 2018-2019 and set a ca er by 10%, 12% and 5% respecti | | Domain I: D, Domain II(a): C, Domain III: C. To achieve this score, the goal is to increase the % of | | |
| | Data Analysis QuestionsWhat changes in student group and subject performance are included in these goals?However, in order to get a C, the team will be need to monitor domain II (a) and domain III. The team has set a goal of increasing the number of students who meet their growth projection by 25 students in Math and 15 in Reading. The campus also needs to see increased performance in all sub-populations - specifically Eco Dis, ELL, and Hispanic. The goal for these subpopulations is aligned to the sub-pop target Eco Dis Reading & Math (33&36), ELL Reading & Math (29&40), and Hispanic (37&40), | | | | | | | | |
| | | | If applicable, what goals has your campus set for CCMR and Graduation Rate? | Not Applicable | | | | | |

| | Self-Assessment Results (To be completed if the campus HAS NOT had an ESF Diagnostic) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Use the completed Self-Assessment Tool to complete this section | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Essential Action | | Implementa | ation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented) | | | | | | |
| 1.1 Develop campus instru | ctional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities. | | | 3 - Partially Implemented | | | | | | |
| 2.1 Recruit, select, assign, | induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators. | | | 1 - Not Yet Started | | | | | | |
| 3.1 Compelling and aligne | d vision, mission, goals, values focused on a safe environment and high expectations. | | | 1 - Not Yet Started | | | | | | |
| 4.1 Curriculum and assess | ments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. | | | 2 - Limited Implementation | | | | | | |
| 5.1 Objective-driven daily | lesson plans with formative assessments. | | | 2 - Limited Implementation | | | | | | |
| 5.3 Data-driven instruction | ۱. | | 3 - Partially Implemented | | | | | | | |
| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | | | | |
| Essential Action | 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. | 5.1 Objective-driven daily less | on plans with formative assessments. | 5.3 Data-driven instruction. | | | | | | |
| Rationale | During 2018-2019, the campus did not follow the district instructional framework. In addition, there was no evidence of an instructional framework in math or reading. The campus focuses on PBL as a key pillar to learning; however, there was no process the ensure PBL units were aligned to standards. Teachers also showed very little consistency across instructional approach. | quality tier I instruction. In orc and supports to build teacher | and leader canacity around backwards design. These | The ESF self-assessment identified a lack of clear systems to analyze data and drive instruction. The campus had limited to no understanding of the accountability system. | | | | | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | The campus will adopt an curriculum or instructional framework by the 1st of September. Additionally, the campus will monitor fidelity of curriculum implementation through targeted walkthroughs. The campus set a goal that 85% of teachers will be implementing the curriculum with fidelity by the end of April. | alignment to standards. The | stem for reviewing lesson plans and formative for campus set a goal that 100% of assessments will be npus also set a goal that lesson plan alignment will be | In order to make strategic decisions regarding instruction and resources allocation, the campus will create a set of well designed data systems. The instructional data systems will be modeled after best practices observed or learned from Gates Elementary, Leverage Leadership, and Data Driven. Systems will include clear assessments aligned to standards, analysis protocols and intervention plans. | | | | | | |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | Barriers: The campus is just beginning the system design and development phase. The campus also struggles with EA 5.1 - which makes it difficult to aggregate formative data. | | | | | | | | | |
| Distri | ct Commitment Theory of Action: If the district and partner can set clea outcomes. | r accountability measures, rem | nove barriers, and provide meaningful resources, netwo | rk leadership will be able to implement the strategic improvement plan and improve student | | | | | | |

| | ESF Diagnostic Results (To be completed AFTER the campus engages in the shared diagnostic with an ESF Facilitator) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Date of ESF Diagnostic | | | | | | | | | |
| | Prioritized Focus Are | a #1 | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | | | | |
| Essential Action | | | | | | | | | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barriers to Address During the Year | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distr | ict Commitment Theory of Action | | | | | | | | | |
| Prioritized Focus Areas for Improvement | | | Capacity Builder | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Student Data | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|------------------|--|--------|------------------|---------|--------|------------------|---------|--------|-------------|--|--------|--|
| | | | % of Students at Campus Determined Proficiency Level | | | | | | | | | % of Students at Meets Grade Level on STAAR or Other Assessment | | |
| Grade level | Subject tested | | Cycle 1 | | | Cycle 2 | | | Cycle 3 | | | Summative | | |
| | | Data Source | Goal | Actual | Data Source | Goal | Actual | Data Source | Goal | Actual | Data Source | Goal | Actual | |
| 3 | Reading | District Interim | 48 | | District Interim | 51 | | District Interim | 54 | | STAAR | 57 | | |
| 3 | Math | District Interim | 39 | | District Interim | 42 | | District Interim | 45 | | STAAR | 48 | | |
| 4 | Reading | District Interim | 48 | | District Interim | 51 | | District Interim | 54 | | STAAR | 57 | | |
| 4 | Math | District Interim | 39 | | District Interim | 42 | | District Interim | 45 | | STAAR | 48 | | |
| 5 | Reading | District Interim | 32 | | District Interim | 35 | | District Interim | 38 | | STAAR | 41 | | |
| 5 | Math | District Interim | 34 | | District Interim | 37 | | District Interim | 40 | | STAAR | 43 | | |
| 4 | Writing | District Interim | 21 | | District Interim | 24 | | District Interim | 27 | | STAAR | 30 | | |
| 6 | Reading | District Interim | 41 | | District Interim | 44 | | District Interim | 47 | | STAAR | 50 | | |
| 6 | Math | District Interim | 41 | | District Interim | 44 | | District Interim | 47 | | STAAR | 50 | | |
| 5 | Science | District Interim | 38 | | District Interim | 41 | | District Interim | 44 | | STAAR | 47 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | Cycle 1 90-day Outo | comes (September - No | vember) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Pric | oritized Focus Are | a #1 | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | | | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | |
| Essential Action | 4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKS with a year-long scope and sequence. | | | 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. | | | 5.3 Data-driven instruction. | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | The campus will adopt an curri September. Additionally, the c implementation through targe 85% of teachers will be impler of April. | campus will moni ted walkthroughs | tor fidelity of curriculum . The campus set a goal that | The campus will execute a system for reviewing lesson plans and formative for alignment to standards. The campus set a goal that 100% of assessments will be aligned by interim #1. The campus also set a goal that lesson plan alignment will be at 85% by the end of April. | | | campus will create a set of we be modeled after best practice | sions regarding instruction and Il designed data systems. The ir is observed or learned from Gat ystems will include clear assess ition plans. | structional data systems will es Elementary, Leverage |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | In order to achieve the desired a set of tactical steps to succes outcomes include the following development of implementatic walkthroughs. Outcome: 65% of fidelity | ssfully meet critic g: 1) adoption of a on fidelity protoco | al 90 day outcomes. These an instructional framework 2) ols, 3) begin targeted | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) adoption of an lesson plan expectations 2) development of lesson plan alignment protocols, 3) begin targeted walkthroughs. Outcome: 65% of teachers | | | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) Identification of critical resources and supports 2) Identification and development of early stage systems and planning documents, 3) execution of a first data cycle based on the first interim assessments. | | |
| Barriers to Address During this Cycle | Barriers: The current culture is staff will need to be convinced new structures. There is also li the campus has no existing PD | l of the WHY in or imited access to F | der to meaningfully adopt the D supporting this domain, and | Barriers: The historic performance of the campus . These barriers include the following: staff culture and mindsets regarding state assessments and student potential, limited access to quality PD providers, | | | To ensure the campus teams are positioned to meet the 90 Day Outcomes, the district and partner will need to address the previously identified barriers though the following: Identify district assessment resources, begin procurement process for PD/resource needs, and create opt-in/out foundational development opportunities. | | |
| District Actions for this Cycle | Develop a math and reading in walk through protocols and too | | | | a process for monitoring lesson | • • • • | Provide data driven training to build capacity amongst leadership; develop data analysis systems and debrief protocols (including master data tracker); Analyze performance data and create intervention plans; | | |
| District Commitments Theory of Action | If the district and partner can set (| clear accountabilit | y measures, remove barriers, and p | provide meaningful resources, network leadership will be able to implement the strategic improvement plan and improve student outcomes. | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | Actior | n plan-Milestones | | | | |
| Miles | tones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps |
| Develop and instructional fram | ework for reading and math | 1 | Aug-Sep | District Content Guides; Math & Reading Curriculum Resources | School Leader & Network Director | Instructional Framework | October 15th, 2019 | | |
| Develop math and reading walkthrough process & protocols 1 Aug-Sep | | | Sample look for documents; Get Better Faster; Leverage Leadership; Instructional Leaders | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Look For Documents | October 15th, 2019 | | | |
| Set up and conduct instructionation in the set of the s | • | 1 | Aug-Sep | Sample look for documents; Get Better Faster; Leverage Leadership; Instructional Leaders | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Team Meeting Agenda; Debrief Notes | October 15th, 2019 | | |

| Develop a differentiated teacher support plan (align professional development and coaching to progress in instructional implementation) | 1,2 Aug-Sep | 2018 - 2019 Teacher Performance Data; Walkthrough Data | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Teacher Tiering Guide & Documents; Team Meeting Agenda | October 15th, 2019 | | |
|---|----------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|----------------|--|
| Conduct Alignment 101 training; Develop and execute system for ensuring lesson plan alignment | 1,2 Aug-Sep | TEKS, Lesson Plan Templates; Alignment PD | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | PD Calendar; Lesson Plan Submissions | October 15th, 2019 | | |
| Provide training on formative assessments and interims; review formative assessments for alignment and rigor | 2,3 Aug-Sep | Data Driven | Campus Instructional Teams | PD Calendar; Assessment Submissions and Feedback | October 15th, 2019 | | |
| Analyze data to create master STAAR data tracker; set campus, teacher, and student goals | 3 Aug-Sep | 2018-2019 Student Data; teacher performance data; Data Driven; Campus projections Data | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | Master STAAR Data Tracker | October 15th, 2019 | | |
| Develop Data Analysis / Action Planning Implementation Plan | 3 Aug-Sep | Data Driven; Leadership Team Time; Debrief Protocols; Gates Templates | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | Data Analysis Project Plan | October 15th, 2019 | | |
| Conduct & Analyze Round 1 Interim Assessments | 3 Aug-Sep | Interim Assessments; Data Analysis Protocols; Planning Time; Data Driven; Gates Templates | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | PD Documents; Data Analysis Debrief Documents; Actions Plans | October 15th, 2019 | | |
| Conduct 90 Day Step Back; Evaluate Progress Toward Intended Outcomes; Develop/Revise 90 Action Plan | 3 Aug-Sep | Bowden Targeted Improvement Plan; Interim 1 Data; Master STAAR Tracker; ESF Assessment; Walkthrough Data | 1882 Partner/Executive Director | Meeting Agenda; Step Back Protocol | October 25th, 2019 | | |
| | | Reflection and Pla | anning for Next 90-Day | , Cycle | | | |
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data T | āb)? Why or why not? | | | | | | |
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to th | | | Carryover Milestones | | | New Milestones | |

| | | | | Cycle 2 90-Day Ou | tcomes (December-Feb | oruary) | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Pric | ritized Focus Are | a #1 | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | | | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | |
| Essential Action | 4.1 Curriculum and assessmen sequence. | ts aligned to TEKS | with a year-long scope and | 5.1 Objective-driven daily less | on plans with formative assessr | nents. | 5.3 Data-driven instruction. | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | The campus will adopt an curriculum or instructional framework by the 1st of September. Additionally, the campus will monitor fidelity of curriculum implementation through targeted walkthroughs. The campus set a goal that 85% of teachers will be implementing the curriculum with fidelity by the end of April. | | | standards. The campus set a | tem for reviewing lesson plans goal that 100% of assessments at lesson plan alignment will be | will be aligned by interim #1. | campus will create a set of we be modeled after best practice | isions regarding instruction and r ell designed data systems. The in es observed or learned from Gate ystems will include clear assess ntion plans. | structional data systems will es Elementary, Leverage |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 2) execute implementation fidelity protocols, 3) continue targeted walkthroughs. Outcome: 75% of teachers are implementing curriculum with fidelity | | | tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) execution of lesson plan alignment protocols, 2) begin targeted walkthroughs. | | | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: All data is analyzed within 48 hrs of assessment; All action plans are created within 5 days of analysis | | |
| Barriers to Address During this Cycle | Barriers: The current culture is based on teacher autonomy. We anticipate staff will need to be convinced of the WHY in order to meaningfully adopt the new structures. There is also limited access to PD supporting this domain, and the campus has no existing PD aligned to support this domain. | | | | ance of the campus . These barr g state assessments and studer | • | partner will need to address th | are positioned to meet the 90 Da ne previously identified barriers t begin procurement process for f opment opportunities. | hough the following: Identify |
| District Actions for this Cycle | Cycle Develop a math and reading instructional framework; Create monitoring and walk through protocols and tools; conduct curriculum training | | | Develop a clear set of lesson plan expectations; Provide learning opportunities for teachers to understand alignment; Create a process for monitoring lesson plan alignment | | | Provide data driven training to build capacity amongst leadership; develop data analysis systems and debrief protocols (including master data tracker); Analyze performance data and create intervention plans; | | |
| District Commitments Theory of Action | If the district and partner can s | et clear accounta | bility measures, remove barrier | s, and provide meaningful reso | urces, network leadership will b | be able to implement the strate | ic improvement plan and impro | ove student outcomes. | |
| | | | | Actior | n plan-Milestones | | | | |
| Miles | stones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps |
| Conduct Instructional Design D Campus Leadership and Netwo Priority Planning; PD Developm | ork Schools (Instructional | 1 | Nov - Dec | Instructional Guides from Academy for Urban School Leadership; | 1882 Partner/Executive Director | Instructional Framework; Session Agenda | February 1st, 2020 | | |
| Conduct math and reading wal for every 3-8th grade classroor | ng walkthroughs (two walkthroughs 1,2 Nov - Dec | | Walkthrough Tool; Get Better Faster; Leverage Leadership; Instructional Leaders | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Outlook Calendars; Walkthrough Data | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| Set up and conduct instruction identify trends and fidelity of in | nstructional implementation debrief to idelity of implementation | | Sample look for documents; Get Better Faster; Leverage Leadership; Instructional Leaders | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Team Meeting Agenda; Debrief Notes | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| Revise teacher support plan (a and coaching to progress in ins | lign professional development structional implementation) | 2 | Nov - Dec | 2018 - 2019 Teacher Performance Data; Walkthrough Data | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Teacher Tiering Guide & Documents; Team Meeting Agenda | February 1st, 2020 | | |

| Conduct Intervention training (with remediation for 101 alignment); Develop and execute system for ensuring lesson plan alignment | 2 | Nov - Dec | TEKS, Lesson Plan Templates; Alignment PD; Intervention PD; Intervention Planning Template | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | PD Calendar; Intervention Plan Submissions | February 1st, 2020 | | |
|---|-----|------------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Review formative assessments for alignment and rigor | 2,3 | Nov - Dec | Data Driven; Evaluation Rubric | Campus Instructional Teams | PD Calendar; Assessment Submissions and Feedback | February 1st, 2020 | | |
| Analyze data to create master STAAR data tracker; set campus, teacher, and student goals | 3 | Nov - Dec | 2018-2019 Student Data; teacher performance data; Data Driven; Campus projections Data | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | Master STAAR Data Tracker | February 1st, 2020 | | |
| Execute Data Analysis Action Plan & Develop Intervention / Teacher Actions Plans | 3 | Nov - Dec | Data Driven; Leadership Team Time; Debrief Protocols; Gates Templates | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | Data Analysis Project Plan | February 1st, 2020 | | |
| Conduct & Analyze Round 2 Interim Assessments | 3 | Nov - Dec | Interim Assessments; Data Analysis Protocols; Planning Time; Data Driven; Gates Templates | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | PD Documents; Data Analysis Debrief Documents; Actions Plans | February 1st, 2020 | | |
| Conduct 90 Day Step Back; Evaluate Progress Toward Intended Outcomes; Develop/Revise 90 Action Plan | 3 | Nov - Dec | Bowden Targeted Improvement Plan; Interim 1 Data; Master STAAR Tracker; ESF Assessment; Walkthrough Data | 1882 Partner/Executive Director | Meeting Agenda; Step Back Protocol | February 14th, 2020 | | |
| | | | Reflection and Pla | anning for Next 90-Day | Cycle | | | |
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Carryover Milestones | | | New Milestones | | |
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to th | | is cycle will you continue working | | | | | | |

| | Cycle 3 90-Day Outcomes (March-May) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| | Prio | ritized Focus Are | ea #1 | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | |
| Essential Action | 4.1 Curriculum and assessment sequence. | ts aligned to TEK | S with a year-long scope and | 5.1 Objective-driven daily less | 5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments. | | | 5.3 Data-driven instruction. | | |
| Desired Annual Outcome | The campus will adopt an curriculum or instructional framework by the 1st of September. Additionally, the campus will monitor fidelity of curriculum implementation through targeted walkthroughs. The campus set a goal that 85% of teachers will be implementing the curriculum with fidelity by the end of April. | | | standards. The campus set a | The campus will execute a system for reviewing lesson plans and formative for alignment to standards. The campus set a goal that 100% of assessments will be aligned by interim #1. The campus also set a goal that lesson plan alignment will be at 85% by the end of April. | | | In order to make strategic decisions regarding instruction and resources allocation, the campus will create a set of well designed data systems. The instructional data systems will be modeled after best practices observed or learned from Gates Elementary, Leverage Leadership, and Data Driven. Systems will include clear assessments aligned to standards, analysis protocols and intervention plans. | | |
| Desired 90-day Outcome | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 2) execute implementation fidelity protocols, 3) continue targeted walkthroughs. Outcome: 85% of teachers are implementing curriculum with fidelity | | | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) execution of lesson plan alignment protocols, 2) begin targeted walkthroughs. Outcome: 85% of teachers demonstrate lesson plan alignment | | | tactical steps to successfully m | annual outcome, the team will neet critical 90 day outcomes. Th within 48 hrs of assessment; Al | nese outcomes include the | |
| Barriers to Address During this Cycle | Barriers: The current culture is based on teacher autonomy. We anticipate staff will need to be convinced of the WHY in order to meaningfully adopt the new structures. There is also limited access to PD supporting this domain, and the campus has no existing PD aligned to support this domain. | | | Barriers: The historic performance of the campus . These barriers include the following: staff culture and mindsets regarding state assessments and student potential, limited access to quality PD providers, | | | To ensure the campus teams are positioned to meet the 90 Day Outcomes, the district and partner will need to address the previously identified barriers though the following: Identify district assessment resources, Begin procurement process for PD/resource needs, and create opt-in/out foundational development opportunities. | | | |
| District Actions for this Cycle | Develop a math and reading instructional framework; Create monitoring and walk through protocols and tools; conduct curriculum training | | | Develop a clear set of lesson plan expectations; Provide learning opportunties for teachers to understand alignment; Create a process for monitoring lesson plan alignment | | | | systems and debrief protocols (including master data tracker); Analyze performance data and create intervention plans; | | |
| District Commitments Theory of Action | If the district and partner can s | et clear accounta | ability measures, remove barrie | rs, and provide meaningful reso | ources, network leadership will b | e able to implement the strate | gic improvement plan and impro | ove student outcomes. | | |
| | | | | Action | n plan-Milestones | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Miles | stones | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps | |
| Conduct Instructional Design D Campus Leadership and Netwo Priority Planning; PD Developm | | 1 | Feb - Mar | Instructional Guides from Academy for Urban School Leadership; | 1882 Partner/Executive Director | Instructional Framework; Session Agenda | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| Conduct math and reading wal for every 3-8th grade classroor | Eeb - Mar | | Walkthrough Tool; Get Better Faster; Leverage Leadership; Instructional Leaders | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Outlook Calendars; Walkthrough Data | February 1st, 2020 | | | | |
| Set up and conduct instruction identify trends and fidelity of in | tional implementation debrief to of implementation from from from from from from from from | | Sample look for documents; Get Better Faster; Leverage Leadership; Instructional Leaders | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Team Meeting Agenda; Debrief Notes | February 1st, 2020 | | | | |
| Revise teacher support plan (a and coaching to progress in ins | lign professional development structional implementation) | 1,2 | Feb - Mar | 2018 - 2019 Teacher Performance Data; Walkthough Data | Primary: School Leader (Support by Network Director) | Teacher Tiering Guide & Documents; Team Meeting Agenda | February 1st, 2020 | | | |

| aligment): Develop and execute system for ensuring lesson | TEKS, Lesson Plan Templates; Alignment PD; Intervention PD; Intervention Planning Template | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | PD Calendar; Intervention Plan Submissions | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Review formative assessments for alignment and rigor 2,3 Feb - Mar | Data Driven; Evaluation Rubric | Campus Instructional Teams | PD Calendar; Assessment Submissions and Feedback | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| Analyze Iterim 3 (STAAR Simulation/MAP) data to create master STAAR data tracker; set campus, teacher, and student 3 Feb - Mar | 2018-2019 Student Data; teacher performance data; Data Driven; Campus projections Data | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | Master STAAR Data Tracker | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| STAAR Remediation / Retest Plan: Execute Data Analysis 3 Action Plan & Develop Intervention / Teacher Actions Plans Mar - May | STAAR Test 1 Data; Master Data STAAR Tracker; Intervention Planning Documents | Network Director (Campus Instructional Teams) | Data Analysis Project Plan | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| ESF Assessment; Debrief & Action Planning; Priority 3 April | ESF Assessment Provider | ESC 20 / Network Directors | ESF Assessment Tool; Debrief Protocol | February 1st, 2020 | | | |
| Conduct 90 Day Step Back; Evaluate Progress Toward 3 Intended Outcomes; Develop/Revise 90 Action Plan Mar - May | Bowden Targeted Improvement Plan; Interim 1 Data; Master STAAR Tracker; ESF Assessment; Walkthrough Data | 1882 Partner/Executive Director | Meeting Agenda; Step Back Protocol | February 14th, 2020 | | | |
| | Reflection and Pla | anning for Next 90-Day | Cycle | | | | |
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not? | | | | | | | |
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What milestones from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the next cycle? | | Carryover Milestones | | | New Milestones | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | END OF | END OF YEAR REFLECTION | | | | | |
| Prioritized Focus Area #1 | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | | | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | |
| Essential Action | | | | 0 | | | |
| The campus will adopt an curriculum or instructional framework by the 1st of standards. The campus will execute a system for reviewing lesson plans and formative for alignment to implementation through targeted walkthroughs. The campus set a goal that to set a goal that lesson plan alignment will be at 85% by the end of April. | | | | | | | |

| Did the campus achieve the | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| desired outcome? Why or | | |
| why not? | | |
| - | | |

| Cycle 4 90-Day Action Plan (June-August) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|----------|---|-----------------------|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The purpose of this 90-Day action plan is to prepare for the upcoming school year. The essential actions the campus prioritizes may have changed based on progress made in the school year or based on ESF diagnostic results. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Prioritized Focus Area #1 | | | Prioritized Focus Area #2 | | | Prioritized Focus Area #3 | | | | | | |
| Essential Action | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) adoption of an instructional framework 2) development of implementation fidelity protocols, 3) begin targeted walkthroughs. Outcome: 65% of teachers are implementing curriculum with fidelity | | | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) adoption of an lesson plan expectations 2) development of lesson plan alignment protocols, 3) begin targeted walkthroughs. Outcome: 65% of teachers demonstrate lesson plan alignment | | | In order to achieve the desired annual outcome, the team will need to execute a set of tactical steps to successfully meet critical 90 day outcomes. These outcomes include the following: 1) Identification of critical resources and supports 2) Identification and development of early stage systems and planning documents, 3) execution of a first data cycle based on the first interim assessments. | | | | | | |
| Rationale | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| How will you communicate these priorities to your stakeholders? How will you invest them? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Desired 90-Day Outcome | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Who will help the campus build capacity in this area? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Barriers to Address | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| District Actions for this Cycle | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| District Commitments Theory of Action | If the district and partner can set clear accountability measures, remove barriers, and provide meaningful resources, network leadership will be able to implement the strategic improvement plan and improve student outcomes. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ł | | | Actior | plan-Milestones | | | | | | | | |
| Milestones | | Prioritized Focus Area | Timeline | Resources Needed | Person(s) Responsible | Evidence Used to Determine Progress Toward Milestone | Evidence Collection Date | Progress Toward Milestone | Necessary Adjustments/Next Steps | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Reflection and Planning for Next 90-Day Cycle | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | | |
| Did you achieve your summative student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not? | | | | | | | | | |
| Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What r on in the next cycle? What new milestones do you need to add to the | | New Milestones | | | | | | | |