Ogden MS DCSI Attestation Stmnt

2020-2021 District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) Superintendent Attestation

The superintendent must name a District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) if their local education agency (LEA) meets one or more of the following criteria:

- the LEA, including those evaluated under alternative education accountability, was assigned an overall rating of F or must engage in unacceptable interventions due to TEC §39A.0545(b) or (c) or was assigned an overall rating of D or D in a domain;
- a campus within the LEA, including alternative education campuses, was assigned an overall rating of D or F or D in a domain;
- a campus was identified as in need of Comprehensive Support, Targeted Support, or Additional Targeted Support; or
- the LEA or campus described above has an appeal of a 2020 accountability rating pending.

The DCSI oversees the work of school improvement as a required member of the Campus Intervention Team (CIT), leads and participates in the needs assessment, including the Effective Schools Framework (ESF) self-assessment and ESF Diagnostic process, improvement planning, and monitoring processes, and ensures requirements and submissions are completed on time. The DCSI supports campus and district improvement by ensuring that the district creates the conditions for campus implementation of best practices. The district commitments in the ESF describe what the district does to create the foundations upon which school-based best practices are built, and the district's actions are captured in the Targeted Improvement Plan (TIP). The DCSI needs to be in a position to impact and/or influence the ESF district commitments aligned to campus needs. DCSIs are principal supervisors or other district-level leaders with direct responsibility for campuses that have been identified for improvement under state and/or federal accountability.

It is strongly encouraged that the DCSI be the supervisor of the principal for the campus with an unacceptable performance rating. If the DCSI is not the principal supervisor, the principal supervisor is required to be a member of the CIT per Texas Administrative Code §97.1063(b)(2).

I, the superintendent of schools, attest that I have read the DCSI Job Description, and that the DCSI selected can perform all the duties included in the job description.

DSCI Job Description

Campus Name:	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI) Name, Role:			
Ogden Academy	Angelica Romero			
Campus Number:	Superintendent Name:			
015907157	Pedro Martinez			
Date:				
Tuesday, October 20, 2020				

Ogden MS DCSI Attestation Stmnt



STUDENT DATA

For Domain 1, exter the 2019 STAM results for each tested course. You can exter the Total IX of assessments at Approaches/Meets/Masters for your campos in column H in the form App/Meets/Ma (for example: 69/20/10). If you prefer to enter the data by each grade-level, you may add rows to accommodate each grade.

If you deminiscents addinant assessment, prises meet the data from that assessment inclowant. Exter the Total IX of test at each level of proficiency. Approaches, Meets, Masters. For each cycle, between test Assessment prise. Remember to see comparable, STAM-aligned assessments for each cycle. Score data is available, see supplement for the profit of the STAM aligned assessments for each cycle. Score data is available, see supplement for the stand for formation goal for that cycle.

For Command, you will forwar 2.4 to expect to stand they peer. To expect to stand they peer it consists of targets from the Audientee Access indicators or Column B. . House indicate if you are choosing Acidemic Achievement or Student Success indicators in Column B. . House indicate if you are choosing Acidemic Achievement or Student Success indicators in Column B. . House indicate if you are choosing Acidemic Achievement or Student Success indicators in Column B. . House in

If tracking Student Success (EI/MS) track an average of Approaches, Meets and Masters (as one number) High Schools or K-12 campuses should use one number that is in relation to CCMR.

You will also track your English Language Prodicincy throughout the year. Aud till in it Domain 1, please include the 2019 TELPAS data. If you administered a baseline assessment, please order the data from that assessment in Column 1.
For each cycle, please identify what assessment you are using to track the progress of students (as a proxy for TELPAS). You can adjust the data you provide, based on the data your campus collects. Enter the formative goal for that cycle. Once data is available, please update the Actual Result column.

lease communicate with your TEA School Improvement Specialist if there are challenges in completing all portions of this data at each cycle due to the disruption of the school year in regard to COVID-19.

* To unfreeze panes, select the View tab and click the Freeze Panes button.

							N of Accessments											
Core Metrics	Sub Metrics	Grade Level	Student Group	Subject Tested	Performance Level	Summative Assessment	ment 2019 Results	2020 Baseline Data (Optional)		Cycle 1			Cycle 2			Cycle 3		2021 Accountability Goal
								(4,14,14)	Assessment Type	Formative Goal	Actual Result	Assessment Type	Formative Goal	Actual Result	Assessment Type	Formative Goal	Actual Result	Summative Goal
		All	All	Reading	Approaches	STAAR	52%		RLS Interim	21%	14.00%	RLS Interim	40%	16%	MAP EDY	59%		75%
		All	All	Reading	Meets	STAAR	21%		RLS Interim	7%	5%	RLS Interim	22%	6%	MAP EDY	37%		50%
		All	All	Reading	Masters	STAAR	9%		RLS Interim	2%	1%	RLS Interim	9%	2%	MAP EDY	16%		15%
		All	All	Mathematics	Approaches	STAAR	54%		RLS Interim	24%	20%	RLS Interim	41%	23%	MAP EOY	58%		75%
		All	All	Mathematics	Meets	STAAR	24%		RLS Interim	11%	2%	RLS Interim	26%	6%	MAP EDY	41%		50%
		All	All	Mathematics	Masters	STAAR	11%		RLS Interim	1%	0%	RLS Interim	8%	1%	MAP EDY	15%		15%
		All	All	Science	Approaches	STAAR	36%		District CBA	13%	36%	District CBA	29%	N/A	MAP EDY	45%		60%
1. Domain 1	% of Students at Approaches, Meets and Masters	All	All	Science	Meets	STAAR	13%		District CBA	2%	4%	District CBA	20%	N/A	MAP EDY	30%		30%
		All	All	Science	Masters	STAAR	4%		District CBA	0%	2%	District CBA	13%	N/A	MAP EDY	15%		15%
		All	All	Social Studies	Approaches	STAAR	N/A		District CBA	22%	27%	District CBA	37%	N/A	MAP EDY	52%		60%
		All	All	Social Studies	Meets	STAAR	N/A		District CBA	7%	8%	District CBA	18%	N/A	MAP EDY	30%		30%
		All	All	Social Studies	Masters	STAAR	N/A		District CBA	0%	0%	District CBA	8%	N/A	MAP EDY	15%		15%
		All	All	Writing	Approaches	STAAR	33%		RLS Interim	11%	4%	RLS Interim	28%	N/A	MAP EOY	45%		60%
		All	All	Writing	Meets	STAAR	15%		RLS Interim	2%	2%	RLS Interim	12%	N/A	MAP EDY	25%		25%
		All	All	Writing	Masters	STAAR	4%		RLS Interim	1%	4%	RLS Interim	8%	N/A	MAP EDY	15%		15%
2. Domain 3 Focus 1	Focus 1 Components (Choose two targets in the Academic Achievement	All	ELL	Reading	Meets	STAAR	20%		RLS Interim	3%	2%	RLS Interim	15%	3%	MAP EDY	27%		29%
2. Domani 3 POCUS 1	or Student Success indicators)	All	ELL	Mathematics	Meets	STAAR	21%		RLS Interim	5%	1%	RLS Interim	17%	3%	MAP EOY	29%		40%
3. Domain 3 Focus 2	Focus 2 Components (Choose two targets in the Academic Achievement	All	SPED	Reading	Meets	STAAR	0%		RLS Interim	2%	2%	RLS Interim	14%	0%	MAP EOY	28%		19%
3. Domain 3 Focus 2	(Choose two targets in the Academic Achievement or Student Success indicators)	All	SPED	Mathematics	Meets	STAAR	2%		RLS Interim	0.50%	3%	RLS Interim	12.50%	5%	MAP EDY	24%		23%
4. Domain 3 Focus 3	ELP Component	All	English Learners (ELs)	TELPAS	All	TELPAS	42%		TELPAS Writing Prompt Practice	Baseline data*	Scaring not complete	"Asynchronous Exam"	Baseline data*	N/A	TELPAS Practice Exam	36%		36%

*due to 2019-2020 COVID the last data we have is 2019. We are doing a fall practice to dete

Ogden MS Foundations

Complete all campus informa implementation is voluntary.	tion, including all names for the roles listed. In rov	w 6, please indicate if this Tar		f a Turnaround Plan. If so, pleas	e put the school year that the TAP was first imple	emented. Please indicate if you	u were ordered to implement the TAP or if			
District Name	SAISD	Campus Name	Ogden Academy	Superintendent	Pedro Martinez	Principal	Nicanora Martinez			
District Number	15907157	Campus Number	00000157	District Coordinator of School Improvement (DCSI)	Angelica Romero	ESC Number	20			
ls this a Turnaround Implementation Plan?	Yes	What Year was the TAP first implemented?	2018-2019	Was TAP Implementation Ordered or Voluntary?	Ordered	ESC Support	Shannon Allen			
			ASSUR	ANCES						
Enter the name of the person	in each role below and the date this tab was com	pleted. Please update row 12	with the Board Approval Date when the TIP has b	een board approved.						
DCSI		support mechanisms to ensur	chool Improvement, attest that I will provide or fr e the successful implementation of the Targeted ntion requirements. If I am the principal superviso	Improvement Plan for this cam	pus. I understand I am responsible for the	Ange	lica Romero, 10/20/2020			
Principal Supervisor * Only necessary if the DCSI is NO	T the Principal supervisor.	commitments and support m	If or this campus, attest that I will coordinate wite echanisms to ensure the principal I supervise can sponsible for ensuring the principal carries out the	achieve successful implementa	tion of the Targeted Improvement Plan for this	Celestina De La Garza, 9/20/2020				
Principal			s, attest that I will coordinate with the DCSI (and rechanisms to ensure the successful implementations.)			Nican	Nicanora Martinez, 10/20/2020			
Board Approval Date	November 2, 2020									
			DATA A	NALYSIS						
Using your accountability data for progress. Include CCMR go	a from 2019 (see link in Column G), and any relevals, if applicable.	ant student achievement data	from 2019-2020, set reasonable goals in each do	main (1, 2B and 3). Include wh	at special student groups you will be monitoring	https://rptsvr1.tea.te	xas.gov/perfreport/tapr/2019/index.html			
				Rationale: This is the number growth to to consider this sch Domain 2B: Students will mee	a 70% in approaches, 40% meets, and 15% in ma that will have us meet a letter grade C in the ach pool year as a result of COVID in SY 2019-2020. et a 70% in approaches, 40% meets, and 15% in m	ievement domain score which	·			
	What accountability goals for each Domain has yo for each domain and how these goals will impact			Rationale: Based on the numbers here, and our relative performance to other districts, we would score a strong C (79). This is especially important since we will not be able to rely or consider growth as a result of COVID in SY 2019-2020.						
				Domain 3: In Closing the Gaps we will need to meet 11 out of the 14 subpops and close the gap with these sub pops to receive a C in this domain. As a result our goal is to meet 11 out of the 14 sub pops in the areas of meets for both math and reading. Rationale: We are unable to rely on growth this SY as a result of COVID in SY 2019-2020 so in order to receive a C we need to focus on the percentage of students at meets. We will narrow down which 11 sub pops we will focus on once our snapshot data is tallied.						
Data Analysis Questions	What changes in student group and subject perfo	rmance are included in these [;oals?	Reading Movement and Goal: In 2019, 21 % at Meets> In 2021, 50% at Meets Math Movement and Goal: In 2019, 24% at Meets> In 2021, 50% at Meets Writing Movement and Goal: In 2019, 15% at Meets> In 2021, 25% at Meets Science Movement and Goal: In 2019, 13% at Meets> In 2021, 30% at Meets We had no prior data in Social Studies to compare to our 2021 goal. Domain 2B: In SY 2019, based on relative performance we were not able to achieve a D. We had met a scale score of a 54, and are aiming to achieve a score of 79.						
				Domain 3: In Closing the Gaps we met 1 sub-pop in 2019 and this year we will need to meet 11 out of 14. The sub pops we will focus on are in student achievement in both reading and math for our SPED, ELL, All, Hispanic, Eco Dis, and for our Continuously Enrolled sub pop in reading. These make up the 11 out						

Ogden MS Foundations

If applicable, what goals has your campus set for CCMR and Graduation Rate?

N/A

CAMPUS FOCUS AREAS

Use information from your Reflective Prioritization Activity and ESF Diagnostic (if available) to complete the following section.

Essential Action	Implementation Level (1 Not Yet Started - 5 Fully Implemented)				
1.1 Develop campus instructional leaders with clear roles and responsibilities.	4 - Partial Implementation				
2.1 Recruit, select, assign, induct and retain a full staff of highly qualified educators.	4 - Partial Implementation				
3.1 Compelling and aligned vision, mission, goals, and values focused on a safe environment and high expectations.	4 - Partial Implementation				
	3 - Beginning Implementation				
5.1 Objective-driven daily lesson plans with formative assessments.	4 - Partial Implementation				
5.3 Data-driven instruction.	4 - Partial Implementation				

PRIORITIZED FOCUS AREAS

Complete each section below (please refer to your RPA):

Essential Action: From the drop-down menu, select 2-3 Essential Actions the campus has selected to prioritize in the 2020-2021 school year.

Rationale: Explain the reason(s) this campus chose to focus on these Essential Actions this year.

Capacity Building: For each prioritized focus area selected, list any internal/external capacity building efforts or cohorts in which you will participate this year. You can refer to the Vetted Improvement Programs found here: https://texasesf.org/vetted-programs/

Barriers: For each prioritized focus area selected, list the barriers to implementation the campus may face throughout the year.

Desired Annual Outcome: For each prioritized focus area selected, create your annual goal that is specific, measurable, attainable, and realistic.

District Commitment Theory of Action: For each prioritized focus area selected, list what the district will do to support the campus to achieve its desired annual outcome. Be sure to reference the District Commitments found in the ESF located here: https://texasesf.org/framework/

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	3.1	4.1	5.3
		Our teachers will need support to navigate the changing dynamics of teaching	In order to do well with 4.1, we also need to focus on 5.3 and implement Data
	We will partner up with Relay Lab Schools, teachers within the school, and our colleagues at Relay GSE who will help us to think through and consider specific items related to Culturally Responsive Teaching that can affect who and what initiatives we may listen to on campus- and whose feedback is heard and not heard.	district in the areas of science and social studies. In writing, we have worked with Wit and Wisdom and Reading Reconsidered (our K-5 and 6-8 ELA curriculum) to think through the structure we use in writing and how it aligns to TEKS and STAAR preparation for both writing and reading.	We will partner with Relay Lab Schools and RELAY GSE to provide us with feedback and insight on the implementation of the data-driven practices. We will also get assistance identifying and addressing the gaps that were exposed as a result of virtual learning. In addition, we will partner with the district to help support us with MAP overviews that will help determine best student specific and classroom specific goals that we need to achieve to meet our EOY goals.
Barriers to Address throughout this year	- Teachers don't have a strong vision and core value statement to align their	- Teacher familiarity with incorporating specific supports for our English	
	We shared our draft goals and priorities with staff at the beginning of the		We shared our draft goals and priorities with staff at the beginning of the
			EOY Goals:
District Commitment Theory of Action	If district, RLS and school leadership team policies and practices align with and	If RLS, the district's, and school leadership team's annual academic calendar	If RLS, the school leadership team, and the district policies and practices

CYCLE 1 90-DAY OUTCOMES (September - November)

Essential Action: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

Desired Annual Outcome: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

For each Prioritized Focus Area, please complete the following sections:

Desired 90-Day Outcome: Describe the specific, measurable goal the campus plans to achieve by the end of this cycle for each prioritized focus area.

Barriers: For each prioritized focus area selected, list the barriers to implementation the campus may face <u>during this cycle</u>.

District Actions for this Cycle: List what the district will do to support the campus to achieve the desired outcome during this 90-day cycle.

District Commitment Theory of Action: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	3.1	4.1	5.3
Desired Annual Outcome	district on the Insight Survey. We will focus specifically on the following metrics: - The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the Insight Survey for Leadership - The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the Insight Survey for Learning Environment - The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the Insight Survey for Instructional Planning for Student Growth - Increase Attendance to 95% - Decrease failure rate to under 10% By the end of the year, our teachers will know the mission, vision, and values in the school and will be able to name the steps and decisions made to help us create a safe environment with high expectations. Our teachers, students, and families will name that they have felt a part of the	EOY Goals: Increase overall Campus student achievement on STAAR at the "Meets" level for all grade levels and all subjects from 11% to 40% And, on MAP, see a 75% of our students meeting their growth goals. 2021 Reading goal: Meets at 46% 2021 Math goal: Meets at 46% 2021 Writing goal: Meets at 25% 2021 Science: Meets at 30% 2021 Science: Meets at 30% 2021 Social Studies: Meets at 30% 2021 Social Studies: Meets at 30% in 2021 60% of Ogden Kinder students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 70% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY. 50% of Ogden 2nd grade students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 60% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY. 50% of Ogden 2nd grade students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 75% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY. 75% of Ogden PK students will score at average or higher on assessment in reading and 80% at average or higher on math assessment by EOY.	EOY Goals: Increase overall Campus student achievement on STAAR at the "Meets" level for all grade levels and all subjects from 11% to 40% 75% growth in math and reading for all students and all subgroups based on MAP 2021 Reading goal: Meets at 46% 2021 Math goal: Meets at 46% 2021 Writing goal: Meets at 25% 2021 Science: Meets at 30% 2021 Science: Meets at 30% 2021 Social Studies: Meets at 30% in 2021 60% of Ogden Kinder students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 70% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY. 50% of Ogden 1st grade students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 60% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY. 75% of Ogden PK students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 75% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY. 75% of Ogden PK students will score at average or higher on assessment in reading and 80% at average or higher on math assessment by EOY.
Desired 90-day Outcome	In the 90 days, we will have administered our first internal "insight survey" round and will have seen at least a 10% increase from last year in the Instructional Planning for Student Growth, Learning Environment and Leadershin	In the 90 days we will have unit plans adjusted in 90% of our scope and sequences based on student gaps and understandings from the previous year. We will have started the process of unit planning on both campuses and adjusting our unit planning to include corrective instructional plans based on first round of assessments.	In the 90 days, we will have administered our first round of IAs and CBAs, and scores will remain at least equal to the averages from the BOY scores in 2019. We will implement the first round of analysis meetings following BOY MAP and our first Interim Assessments our student take. Based on these meetings, teachers and leaders will identify student gaps, errors in student misunderstanding, and where and how to reteach students in the upcoming 6-8 weeks before our next round of assessments.
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	- Teachers do not have a strong vision and core value statement to align their beliefs with, and leaders have not made this readily available to	- Our school tends to be compliance focused regarding our English Language Learners and MTSS. We need to ensure that we not only focus	- COVID-19 has created gaps that impact both what our students learned in 19-20 and were not able to learn at the end of the year, and it has
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SAISD has provided quality templates for our Social Studies and Science	RLS has rolled out the MAP and Interim Assessment (IA) analysis process
District Commitment Theory	If district, RLS and school leadership team policies and practices align with and promote school culture then we will meet our goals in 3.1	If RLS, the district's, and school leadership team's annual academic calendar includes days for school-based professional development activities that align with the assessment calendar and allow for data-driven reflection then we will meet our EOY academic goals and those listed in 4.1.	If RLS, the school leadership team, and the district policies and practices support effective instruction and the resources for strong data driven instruction and practices- access to data, resources in interpreting the data in graphics, in schools then we will meet our EOY academic goals and those listed in 5.3.
		ACTION PLAN	

In each row below, list the actions the campus is taking during this cycle to achieve its desired outcomes and address the identified barriers to implementation.

For each action step, indicate:

- the prioritized essential action it is aligned to,
- the start date/end date during this specific cycle,
- the resources needed to accomplish this task,
- the person(s) responsible for ensuring task is accomplished,
- the evidence that will be used to determine progress toward the action step, and
- the date evidence will be collected.

At the end of each cycle -

For each action step: (1) select the progress review status from the drop down menu, and (2) describe what next steps will be taken during the next cycle. For each action that has not been MET, please update column J with necessary adjustments or next steps for this action step.

Action Step	Prioritized Essential Action	Start Date/End Date	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Action Step (May be requested by Specialist)	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Action Step	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Post the vision and mission statement created in the summer in hallways and provide to staff members to refer to.	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	poster paper or chart paper, printer, Mission statement	DOO	Vision and missions	November 30, 2020	No Progress	Not yet. Principal will follow up with Director of Operations. They were ordered on November 6th and waiting on follow up as of November 17th
Identify specific staff survey questions that will help guide us toward checking in on progress towards Insight survey taken at the end of the year.	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	insight survey from previous year, esf framework aligned to insight survey, a PD date to roll out the insight survey for the fall		Staff Survey, feedback to staff with next step, CLT review feedback	October 23, 2020	Met	
Institute a first "Insight survey" round in mid October to take a temperature check on progress	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Insight survey, roll out introduction from principals	RLS, Principals, Teachers	Insight Survey	October 23, 2020	Met	We will review the data and determine an action plan from the resulting survey during the week of November 2nd.
Campus Leadership Team- elect the Campus leadership team to support decisions around student culture, staff culture and family engagement	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	District resources, email and talking points rollout to staff. agenda template	Principal	Finalize Roles and responsibilities	October 30, 2020	Met	Nominations are done- these will be submitted and completed by October 30th.

Schedule a follow up on Relationship building and Culturally Responsive Teaching with staff members	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	TEA asynchronous session materials, summer session on School culture	EP, Principal, Instructional Leaders	Next steps list	November 3, 2020	Met	Focus on Relationship Building for students - Leaders began with reviewing trauma based practices to support Culturally responsive teaching and building stronger relationships this school year. Will follow up with new round of PD on January 11th.
Rollout to staff different types of grade team meetings and the purpose of these meetings (Weekly Data meetings, Unit Planning meetings, Internalization meetings, MTSS/ELL meetings, etc.)	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	PD session and chart, grade team meeting time to roll out whole staff	Principals, Instructional Coaches	Next Steps, Action Steps, Walkthrough feedback	October 5, 2020	Met	
At the start of family engagement activities (example BINGO Night), we kick off with a 10-15 minute academic focus/goal/need the campus is striving for and how families can support at home.	3.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	How to access Canvas and Zoom sessions, TIP PPT	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches	Sign In Sheets, Agendas, Presentations	October 29, 2020	Met	We completed a meeting in early September regarding Canvas support and other virtual supports. We will roll out the TIP at the next family night meeting with families on October 29.
Roll out Unit Overviews and round 2 of Unit Planning with completed Unit overviews to staff	4.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	PD Session, unit overview to staff	IS, Principals, and Instructional Coaches	Protocols, Next Steps	October 9, 2020	Met	
Hold Consistent ELL and MTSS meetings and brainstorm ways to include the use of Flipgrid and other supports for ELL students	4.1	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Agendas for meetings	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, MTSS & ELL coordinators, and teachers	Agendas,	November 30, 2020	Significant Progress	These have started and have been scheduled throughout the year. We will continue to hold these until the end of Cycle 1.
Conduct a practice TELPAS writing sample collection process	4.1/5.3	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Logistics, prompts, protocols	RLS, Principals, MTSS & ELL coordinators, and teachers	Meeting Agendas, Protocols	October 22, 29, November 5, 12, 19	Significant Progress	First week completed. We are in the middle of our process of completing TELPAS writing samples.
Conduct our data analysis meeting following MAP to identify priority standards and TEKS to embed in upcoming unit plans	4.1/5.3	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Protocol	Principals, Instructional Coaches	Agendas	October 15, 2020	Met	We will continue Analysis after every assessment.
Conduct our data analysis meeting following IAs to identify standards to focus in on and reteach and standards to monitor progress following the IA.	4.1/5.3	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Protocol	Principals, Instructional Coaches	Agendas	November 13, 2020	Met	We will continue Data Analysis after every assessment. The first IA round will be completed on November 6th and November 13th.

Progress monitor TEKS identified from MAP and IA analysis and upcoming unit plans by creating weekly or bi-weekly quizzes in eduphoria for grades 3-8 in all tested subject areas	5.3	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Logistics, protocols	RLS, Principals, Instructional Coaches and teachers	Protocols, Presentations, Agendas	November 20, 2020	Significant Progress	PD is occuring on November 13- how to create eduphoria quizzes with a rollout to begin November 20 with the first Math Quiz. We will need to carry this over to Cycle 2.
Students set specific student goals related to benchmarks which we will be doing based on our campus goals and their BOY MAP	3.1/5.3	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Protocols, Student Goal Sheets	RLS, Principals, FACE, Instructional Coaches and teachers	IStudent Goal cheets	October 30, 2020 and November 13, 2020	Significant Progress	We will complete the process of identifying student specific goals following our first round of Interim Assessments.
To engage families, inform families in face to face meetings or virtual conferences about student specific goals for their students between quarter 1 and quarter 2	3.1/5.3	September 1 2020 / November 30 2020	Presentations, Talking Points, Resources from RLS or SAISD	EP, Principals, FACE, and Culture team or Instructional Coaches	Sign In Sheets, Agendas, Trackers	November 3, 2020	Some Progress	Report Card Conferences will occur at the end of each nine weeks. We are still working to get 100% of our families in for a family conference. Will review one last time on November 30th to determine whether we need to roll this over.

REFLECTION and PLANNING for NEXT 90-DAY CYCLE

At the end of this cycle, please reflect on the implementation of your Targeted Improvement Plan thus far by responding to the questions below. Be sure to explain whether your outcomes and student performance goals were met and why or why not. List any action steps you will carry over to the next cycle and any new action steps you have discovered necessary for the next cycle. Be sure to add these action steps into the next cycle's action plan.

For each of the Prioritized Focus Areas, did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?

At this point in Cycle 1 we can anticipate that we will have met all 3 desired outcomes for this TIP. We were able to meet these outcomes because we had strategically planned the action steps in Cycle 1 at the start of the school year. At this point, however, we are unsure of whether our interim assessment data is comparable to last year's BOY assessment data. We anticipate it may be lower due to COVID-19, however, we are hopeful that the data does come out comparable. If the data is similar, this will be due to the unit planning process we took in the start of the school year and again in October to address student gaps created from the end of the year (SY 19-20) as a result of the transition to virtual learning. However, there are some action steps that are still outstanding and we were unable to complete and we will carry these items over into Cycle 2. We were unable to complete these action steps because we had to prioritize some immediate and urgent needs related to COVID, virtual learning, and staff/student reentry into the school building.

Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not?	The formative goals set for Cycle 1 were set so that we achieved at least the same Interim Assessment scores as our first IA round in 2019. Given COVID we believed that this would provide us with a baseline and allow us to see how similarly prepared our students were for this school year. In most cases, except for a few cases where our students too the District CBAs for the first time, our students did not meet the formative goals for Cycle 1. This was not a surprise, and while we were hoping to see improvement, our hope was that our scores remained stable from this year to last year. The biggest differences between last year Cycle 1 and this year's Cycle 1 were in our Approaches and Meets bands for both reading and math, and our Approaches band in Writing. Our assessments were nearly identical to last year's Cycle 1 assessments so the rigor provided in both assessments were nearly identical. Since we did not write the assessments for the District CBAs we cannot make a determination as to whether the rigor was changed from last year to this year. As for not meeting the formative goals for Cycle 1 we believe that this is due to two factor. Factor 1 is that we were only providing in person instruction for half the time in Cycle 1 to about 30% or less of the student population in Grades 3-8. We want to increase the amount of students receiving in person instruction in the coming weeks during Cycle 2 to support student growth. In addition, during Cycle 1, our teachers were, and still are, concurrently teaching in person students and remote learning students. We believe this is difficult for our teachers to do and monitor student mastery well. We will be looking to roll out schedules that provide teachers time to teach in person students and remote learning students separately during the core content areas of math and reading. Carryover Action Steps New Action Steps					
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What action steps from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new action steps do you need to add to the next cycle?	- Progress monitor TEKS identified from MAP and IA analysis and upcoming unit plans by creating weekly or bi-weekly quizzes in eduphoria for grades 3-8 in all tested subject areas	Roll out specific action steps related to data and outcomes from Fall Staff Insight Survey - Hold regular Weekly data meetings for at least every content quiz taken (one per week for math/ELA), facilitated by an instructional coach/leader. Meetings will support reteaches and adjusting upcoming lessons being taught. - We will continue to cycle through action steps related to unit planning, English Language Learners and our MTSS meetings, and our Data Driven Instruction meetings related to MAP and Interim Assessments. - To increase student engagement online and during Interim Assessments and MAP we will hold family conferences with the families of students who have low attendance rates and/or did not have their students complete testing at the BOY and create family contracts with these families. - Provide more in person instruction to students who are currently remote learning off campus. -Adjust the teaching schedule to provide time for teachers to teach remote learning or in person instruction and not both concurrently.				

CYCLE 2 90-DAY OUTCOMES (December-February)

Essential Action: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

Desired Annual Outcome: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

For each Prioritized Focus Area, please complete the following sections:

Desired 90-Day Outcome: Describe the specific, measurable goal the campus plans to achieve by the end of this cycle for each prioritized focus area.

Barriers: For each prioritized focus area selected, list the barriers to implementation the campus may face <u>during this cycle</u>.

District Actions for this Cycle: List what the district will do to support the campus during this 90-day cycle to achieve the desired outcome.

District Commitment Theory of Action: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3	
Essential Action	3.1	4.1	5.3	
Desired Annual Outcome	80% of staff retention year to year	EOY Goals:	EOY Goals:	
Desired 90-day Outcome	In these 90 days we will have administered our second internal	In these 90 days, our teachers will begin to unit plan with the data in	In these 90 days, in our approaches bands we will see an increase of	
Barriers to Address During this Cycle	- Our teachers have a lot more responsibilities when it comes to ensuring students are participating in lessons, completing assignments, and taking their assessments. We will need to support teachers in this by utilizing our FACE team and also ensuring there are more direct connections between leaders and families to support content communication. - Due to social distancing and a concern around staff and student safety, meeting virtually versus in person has it's limitations in building investment from teachers and also from students. Conversations and lessons take longer in the virtual world. As a result, we are working towards providing agendas earlier for teachers before meeting, rolling out new initiatives earlier to gather feedback prior to "officially" starting a new initiative and gathering feedback in the form of frequent surveys to teachers and families. - Students learning remotely have less opportunities to engage in classroom content and to build quality relationships with teachers thus making engagement "lower" in remote learning. We are working, this cycle, to increase family engagement opportunities, providing teachers with professional development opportunities to increase engagement with ALL learners (especially our ELLs), and increasing professional development around how to address trauma and building student relationships during this time.	- Virtual meetings can take longer especially when reviewing and addressing student needs. In addition, we need more time with students on campus for those that are having difficulty engaging online. We will need to find time within the school day to bring in remote learners to provide additional in person teaching to these students. This will impact our professional development schedule that we currently have in place with teachers. As a result, we will move to an alternate schedule to increase in person learning and provide additional PLC time during the school week to our teachers.	- Ensuring that all of our scholars who are remote learners complete their Interim Assessments and MAP MOYS since this was a concern during Cycle 1. We will increase outreach prior to the start of MAP MOY and the second round of IAs. - We are unsure of whether the data is valid when students take MAP and Interim Assessments at home. As a result, we are going to increase the number of in person testers for MAP and Interim Assessments during this cycle. - In addition, we need more time with students on campus for those that are having difficulty engaging online. We will need to find time within the school day to bring in remote learners to provide additional in person teaching to these students.	
District Actions for this Cycle	RLS provided time for leaders to refine and rollout their specific vision	SAISD has provided quality templates for our SS and Science teachers	The district is providing some funding for MAP administration during	
District Commitment Theory	, , , , , , , ,	If RLS, the district's, and school leadership team's annual academic	If RLS, the school leadership team, and the district policies and	
of Action	with and promote school culture then we will meet our goals in 3.1	calendar includes days for school-based professional development	practices support effective instruction and the resources for strong	
		ACTION PLAN		

ACTION PLAN

In each row below, list the actions the campus is taking during this cycle to achieve its desired outcomes and address the identified barriers to implementation.

For each action step, indicate:

- the prioritized essential action it is aligned to,
- the start date/end date during this specific cycle,
- the resources needed to accomplish this task,
- the person(s) responsible for ensuring task is accomplished,
- the evidence that will be used to determine progress toward the action step, and
- the date evidence will be collected.

At the end of each cycle -

For each action step: (1) select the progress review status from the drop down menu, and (2) describe what next steps will be taken during the next cycle.

For each action that has not been MET, please update column J with necessary adjustments or next steps for this action step.

Action Step	Prioritized Focus Area	Start Date/End Date	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Action Step (May be requested by Specialist)	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Action Step	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Roll out specific action steps related to data and outcomes from Fall Staff Insight Survey	3.1	October 23, 2020	Survey	RLS, Principals	feedback Leadership Protocol Review CLT Review/Feedback	February 1, 2021	Met	
Use CLT to address concerns re: staff culture & initiatives	3.1	December 1 2020 / February 20 2021	Agenda, Data from internal Insight Survey, Protocol	Principal	Agendas CIP	February 5, 2021	Met	
To engage families, inform families in face to face meetings or virtual conferences about student specific goals for their students between quarter 2 and quarter 3	3.1/5.3	December 1 2020 / February 20, 2021	Scripts, Presentations, Talking Points, Zoom	EP, Principals, FACE and Culture team or Instructional Coaches	Sign In Sheets Presentations	February 26, 2021	Some Progress	Use Remind as a resource to engage families and prioritize failing families in the next round of conferences.
Students and teachers update specific student goals based on MAP MOY and IA#2	3.1/5.3	January 29, 2021	Student goal sheet, MAP reports, IA reports, school specific goals, sub pop data, protocol and PPT for in class goal setting	Instructional Coaches	Goals Sheet	February 12, 2021	Met	
Continue Unit Planning for Round 3 of Unit planning based on MAP and IA results and on adjustments needed based on data	4.1	December 1, 2020 / February 2021	PD Session, unit overview to staff	IS, Principals, and Instructional Coaches	Unit Planning Documents Pacing Guides	February 26, 2021	Significant Progress	All grade teams have an updated unit calendar, however not all teachers and/or leaders attended from Ogden. We are working with our team to hold teachers/leaders accountable to participating in unit planning meetings.

Hold regular Weekly data meetings for at least every content quiz taken (one per week for math/ELA), facilitated by an instructional coach/leader. Meetings will support reteaches and adjusting upcoming lessons being taught.	5.3	December 1, 2020 / February 20, 2021	WDM Protocol Sheets Student work	IS, Principals, and Instructional Coaches	WDM Coaching Notes	Weekly February 26, 2021	Some Progress	Some grade teams and leaders consistently held weekly data meetings, while some grade teams and leaders did not. There was a lack of follow through with these specific leaders which we are addressing in the next cycle by checking that leaders have scheduled their meetings as well as have a planned weekly data meeting prepared every week.
Weekly leadership walkthroughs focused on rigor/academic looks fors to support students meeting their goals	5.3	December 1, 2020 / February 20, 2021	Observation Feedback Tracker Look For Protocol Student Goals Sheets	IS, Principals, and Instructional Coaches	Observation Feedback Tracker Documents	Weekly February 26, 2021	Significant Progress	While most weeks this continues to occur, leaders are not providing real time feedback to teachers to strengthen their skill of real time feedback. We will focus our walkthroughs next cycle on this area of growth.
Facilitate TELPAS Practice Labs and practice sessions on campus	4.1/5.3	December 7, 2020 / February 26, 2021	TELPAS Planning Resources	EP, Principals, ELL coordinators, and teachers	TELPAS Planning Guide, TELPAS Meeting Notes, Practice Protocols, RLS Leader Calendar	February 26, 2021	Met	
Continue the consistent ELL and MTSS meetings and brainstorm ways to include the use of Flipgrid and other supports for ELL students	4.1	December 7, 2020 / February 26, 2021	Logistics, prompts, protocols	RLS, Principals, MTSS & ELL coordinators, and teachers	Branching Minds, Coaching Agendas, Practice Protocols, RLS PD Calendar	February 26, 2021	Met	

Progress monitor TEKS identified from MAP and IA analysis and upcoming unit plans by creating weekly or bi-weekly quizzes in eduphoria for grades 3-8 in all tested subject areas	5.3	December 7, 2020 / February 26, 2021	Logistics, protocols	RLS, Principals, Instructional Coaches and teachers	Next Steps list, student data, Launch Agendas	February 26, 2021	Some Progress	All quizzes were created however some classrooms did not consistently give the quiz to students. There was a lack of follow through with leaders in this aspect and ensuring all teachers were completing their quizzes and there was follow through in the form of a weekly data meeting with teachers.
Professional Development: TELPAS, PLD's, & refresher for 7 Steps	4.1/5.3	November 3 2020 / February 26, 2021	SAISD's Bilingual Dept.	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, ELL Coordinators, Teachers	Sign In Sheets	February 26, 2021	Met	
Identify students who did not complete their Assignments and develop a plan with families to have their students complete their next round of assessments.	3.1/4.1	December 1, 2020/February 26, 2021	MAP BOY reports and Interim Assessment reports, teacher input, attendance information	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, Attendance and Data Clerk, FACE Teachers	Quarter 2 Family Conference Tracker	February 26, 2021	Significant Progress	We need to have more student on campus learning over the next few weeks and. the remainder of the school year.
Identify students who are struggling to master the content during remote learning and bring them in for additional in person teaching on Friday afternoons.	4.1/5.1	January 15, 2021/Ongoing	Engagement reports, attendance reports, student failure reports, Interim Assessment scores, teacher input, family surveys	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, Attendance and Data Clerk, FACE Teachers	Student re-entry list, Friday Schedule	January 22, 2021	Met	
Shift the schedule to provide time for teachers to teach remote learners and in person learners separately during math and reading in grades 3-8	4.1/5.1	January 12, 2021	Daily Schedule, teacher survey, CLT agenda notes, Blended learning stations	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, Attendance and Data Clerk, FACE Teachers	Revised daily schedule, family schedules, class rosters	January 12, 2021	Some Progress	Only one grade is consistently teaching their core contents to either in person students or remote students. The other grades have not started due to scheduling and lack of follow through by a leader. A new timeline has been created so that this will be done in all grades PK-7 by 4/5.
Identify students who did not complete their MAP BOY and/or Interim Assessments for Round 1 and develop a plan with families to have their students complete their next round of assessments.	3.1/5.3	December 1, 2020/February 26, 2021	MAP BOY reports and Interim Assessment reports, teacher input, attendance information nd PLANNING	EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, Attendance and Data Clerk, FACE Teachers	Quarter 2 Family Conference Tracker	January 11, 2021	Met	

REFLECTION and PLANNING for NEXT 90-DAY CYCLE

At the end of this cycle, please reflect on the implementation of your Targeted Improvement Plan thus far by responding to the questions below. Be sure to explain whether your outcomes and student performance goals were met and why or why not. List any action steps you will carry-over to the next cycle and any new action steps you have discovered necessary for the next cycle. Be sure to add these action steps into the next cycle's action plan.							
For each of the Prioritized Focus Areas, did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not? For 3.1 we did not meet our goal of having a 10% increase in our internal insight survey. There was an increase of 6% on instructional							
Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not?	No, the goals set were too high and not attainable.	No, the goals set were too high and not attainable.					
Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What Action Steps from this cycle will you	Carryover Action Steps	New Action Steps					
continue working on in the next cycle? What new Action Steps do you need to add to the next cycle?	3.1: -Reviewing student work during observations and for WDMs.	5.3 Creating instructional plans with teachers for intervention/enrichment as well as maximizing instructional times.					

CYCLE 3 90-DAY OUTCOMES (March-May)

Essential Action: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

Desired Annual Outcome: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

For each Prioritized Focus Area, please complete the following sections:

Desired 90-Day Outcome: Describe the specific, measurable goal the campus plans to achieve by the end of this cycle for each prioritized focus area.

Barriers: For each prioritized focus area selected, list the barriers to implementation the campus may face <u>during this cycle</u>.

District Actions for this Cycle: List what the district will do to support the campus during this 90-day cycle to achieve the desired outcome.

District Commitment Theory of Action: Pre-populates from the 'Foundations' tab.

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	3.1	4.1	5.3
Desired Annual Outcome	80% of staff retention year to year Teacher satisfaction meets or exceeds the top quartile average for the district on the Insight Survey. By the end of the year, 80% of our teachers will be able to articulate the mission, vision, and values of the school and will be able to connect instruction and student progress to the decisions made to help us create a safe environment with high expectations. Every teacher will address high expectations reached in class. Our teachers, students, and families will name that they have felt a part of the decision making process in the school and will state there is common alignment across all stakeholders. This desired annual outcome will Systems to support 80% staff retention: - By the end of the year we would have implemented a campus mentor program for 100% of new teachers, 2 staff surveys (in addition to weekly surveys), and 2 community involvement trainings.	Increase overall Campus student achievement on STAAR at the "Meets" level for all grade levels and all subjects from 11% to 40%. And, on MAP, see a 75% of our students meeting their growth goals. By the end of the year, our curriculum and assessments will be aligned to TEKS. The scope and sequence and our units will prioritize the most essential elements needed to support students in end of year mastery of state standards. In addition, our scope and sequence will provide the necessary time for teachers to provide corrective instruction when students fail or struggle to master state standards. In addition, our scope and sequence and instruction will be designed to meet the needs of students with disabilities and English learners. Systems to support curriculum and assessments' TEKS alignment: By the end of the year we would have implemented assessment cycles and updated scope and sequence with strong TEKS alignment. By the end of the year we would have scheduled and implemented professional development including Professional Learning Community	Increase overall Campus student achievement on STAAR at the "Meets" level for all-grade levels and all subjects from 11% to 40% 75% growth in math and reading for all students and all subgroups based on MAP By the end of the year, instructional leaders and teachers will track and monitor the progress of all students, including students with disabilities and English learners among other student groups for all assessment and quizzes given in school. Teachers and leaders will analyze student data using the student work provided and identify an instructional plan to support student mastery on the errors found in assessments and quizzes. They will identify the root cause of the error and a reteach that targets the root cause. Systematically, teachers and leaders will have protected time in the schedule for bi-weekly data meetings, PLCs, to analyze student work, and quarterly interim assessment meetings to analyze student assessment data. Students and teachers will measure progress towards goals through whole class student growth trackers and individual student specific trackers. Systems to support Data Driven Instruction: By the end of the year we would have implemented cycles of biweekly data meetings,
† † † † *	We will focus specifically on the following metrics: The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the Insight Survey for Leadership The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the	(PLCs) for each grade level to support their planning and implementation of the curriculum and assessments Deleted due to repetition: 2021 Reading goal: Meets at 46%- 2021 Math goal: Meets at 46%- 2021 Writing goal: Meets at 25%	PLCs, and interim assessment analysis meetings. Deleted due to repetition: 2021 Reading goal: Meets at 46%- 2021 Math goal: Meets at 46%- 2021 Writing goal: Meets at 25% 2021 Science: Meets at 30% 2021 Science: Meets at 30% in 2021
	, , , , ,	In these 90 days we will continue to see our teachers are utilizing the-	In these 90 days, in our approaches bands we will see an increase of 19% (ELA) and
_	- We anticipate that despite our focus on improving Tier 1 instruction	- We anticipate that the amount of time remote learning takes to-	- We anticipate we will still have remote learners and families who are hesitant to-
this Cycle t	to both remote and in person learners, the amount of time needed to	adequately address standards for students who are struggling will	come onto campus. This will make testing and addressing student gaps more difficult.
District Actions for this Cycle	and will provide us with a detailed survey report that allows us to compare how we did to other district campuses so that we can compare how we did in the areas of respecting and listening to	· ·	We anticipate additional funding for March intersession which we hope to use for both STAAR Simulation (if we are allowed to take the exam earlier), TELPAS completion, and additional tutoring for students who need on campus support that are currently unable to be in person.
District Commitment Theory	If district, RLS and school leadership team policies and practices align	If RLS, the district's, and school leadership team's annual academic	If RLS, the school leadership team, and the district policies and practices support
of Action	with and promote school culture then we will meet our goals in 3.1	calendar includes days for school-based professional development	effective instruction and the resources for strong data driven instruction and practices-

ACTION PLAN

in each row below, list the actions the campus is taking during this cycle to achieve its desired outcomes and address the identified barriers to implementation.

For each action step, indicate:

- the prioritized essential action it is aligned to,
- the start date/end date during this specific cycle,
- the resources needed to accomplish this task,
- the person(s) responsible for ensuring task is accomplished,
- the evidence that will be used to determine progress toward the action step, and
- the date evidence will be collected.

At the end of each cycle -

For each action step: (1) select the progress review status from the drop down menu, and (2) describe what next steps will be taken during the next cycle. For each action that has not been MET, please update column J with necessary adjustments or next steps for this action step.

Action Step	Prioritized Focus Area	Start Date/End Date	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Action Step (May be requested by Specialist)	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Action Step	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Continue to use CLT (teachers, leaders, community member, parent & student) to address concerns re: staff culture & initiatives specifically in the areas addressed in February's state of the school: Leadership, Student Growth, Learning Environment and Professional Development	3.1	March 8, 2021 April 5,2021 May 3, 2021	Agenda, Data from internal Insight Survey, Protocol	Principal	Agendas CIP	May 14, 2021	Significant Progress	While these meetings occurred, we used this time to review plans for next school year and were not laser focused on the topics from February's state of the school.
Teachers identify students to participate in small group in person tutoring on Friday and focus on targeted TEKS	3.1	January 22,2021/May 2021	Student Tracker, attendance lists, failure list	Principals, Teachers, Instructional Coaches, Director of Operations	Friday Roster Attendance sheets, failure rates for each class, lesson plans, State Of Schools Spreadsheet	May 28, 2021	Met	
Family Engagement: Increase Remind accessibility for all stakeholders, including leaders, to support attendance follow up and tracking.	3.1	February 22,2021- May 31, 2021	Student Registration schoolwide REMIND	RLS, Principals, Registration Teams, FACE Specialist, Data Clerks	Frontline Registration List	May 31, 2021	Met	
To engage families, inform families in face to face-meetings or virtual conferences about student-specific goals for their students between quarter 3-and quarter 4. Target first the families whose students are failing and are not consistently attending school remotely and schedule home visits with these families or "virtual online visits" to discuss these student goals. This allows us to target students who are disengaged and failing.	3.1/5.3	March 1 2021 / May	Scripts, Presentations, Talking Points, Zoom, Attendance reports, Home visit Tracker	EP, Principals, FACE and Culture team or Instructional Coaches	Sign In Sheets Presentations	May 28, 2021	Met	

Students and teachers update specific student goals based on IA #2 and STAAR Simulation and revise unit plans and the pacing calendar accordingly.	3.1/ 5.3	March 29, 2021/April 9,2021	Student goal sheet, MAP reports, IA reports, school specific goals, sub pop data, protocol and PPT for in class goal setting	Instructional Coaches	Goals Sheet	April 9, 2021	Some Progress	While we did adjust the unit plans and the pacing calendars based on the STAAR simulation and IA #2 teachers were unable to pull specific student data to update student specific goals due to the limited amount of information we pulled from the STAAR simulation.
Redesign the structure of the day to allow designated teachers to focus on In-person students while another teacher addresses the remote learners.	4.1	December 2020 (selected grade levels) and school wide by April 5th, 2021	Schedule, logistics and Launch protocol	Principal, instructional coaches, Director of Operations	Powerpoint presentation & schedule	May 28, 2021	Significant Progress	This was done with most grades, however 7th and 8th grade were unable to separate their remote and in person learners due to staff limitations, IEPs and scheduled pull outs conflicting with adjustments in the schedule.
Consistent Unit Planning for Round 4/5 of Unit planning based on MAP and IA results and on adjustments needed based on data. Ensure that all teachers and leaders are participating during these unit planning meetings.	4.1	March 1, 2021 / May 2021	PD Session, unit overview to staff	IS, Principals, and Instructional Coaches	Unit Planning Documents Pacing Guides, Attendance tracker for staff	May 28, 2021	Some Progress	While the unit planning occurred, not all teachers at Ogden participated in unit planning due to a lack of communication and follow through.
Continue the consistent ELL and MTSS meetings and brainstorm ways to include the use of Flipgrid and other supports for ELL students	4.1	March 1 2021 / May 2021	Logistics, prompts, protocols	RLS, Principals, MTSS- & ELL coordinators, and teachers	Branching Minds, Coaching Agendas, Practice Protocols, RLS PD Calendar	May 28, 2021		
Leaders schedule and calendar all Weekly Data Meetings for the rest of the school year and include all school leaders and RLS leaders on calendar invites.	5.3	March 1, 2021 / May 2021	MOCHA Assignments Calendar	IS, EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, AP's & Fellows	Coaching Agendas	May 28, 2021	Some Progress	While some weekly data meetings were completed by some of the school leaders, not all grades and school leaders consistently held these meetings with teachers. There was a lack of follow through on the expectations set.
The operations team created a plan to move furniture to create more space for in person students.	3.1/5.3	March 8, 2021- March 19, 2021	Custodians, Plan to execute	Principal, Secretary & Custodians	Checklist of furniture moves	March 19, 2021	Met	
Following unit planning meetings, leaders will focus their weekly meetings and/or PLCs to internalize upcoming lessons and plan/practice upcoming lessons in the unit.	4.1	March 1, 2021 / May 2021	Leader Calendar Teacher Tracker (with action steps) RLS Calendar Protocol	IS, EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, AP's & Fellows	Coaching Agendas Leader Calendar Teacher Tracker (with action steps) RLS Calendar Protocol	May 28, 2021	No Progress	These meetings were not calendarized or consistently scheduled by school leaders.
Leaders will plan their weekly data meetings prior to facilitating weekly data meetings.	4.1 & 5.3	March 1, 2021 / May 2021	Leader Calendar WDM Teacher Tracker (with action steps) RLS Calendar Protocol	IS, EP, Principals, Instructional Coaches, AP's & Fellows	Coaching Agendas Leader Calendar Teacher Tracker (with action steps) RLS Calendar Weekly Data Meeting Protocol Weekly Data Meeting Tracker	May 28, 2021	Some Progress	While some weekly data meetings were completed by some of the school leaders, not all grades and school leaders consistently held these meetings with teachers. There was a lack of follow through on the expectations set.

Weekly leadership walkthroughs focused on rigor/academic looks fors to support students meeting their goals and focus walkthroughs on providing real time feedback to teachers.	4.1/5.3	March 1 2021 / May 2021		IS, Principals, and Instructional Coaches	Observation Feedback Tracker Documents	May 28, 2021	Significant Progress	These walkthroughs were consistently completed, however, real time feedback was not given in all situations when completing walkthroughs because of
intervention/enrichment as well as maximizing instructional times.	5.3	March 1, 2021 / May 2021	Planning Protocols	IS, Principals, Instructional Coaches, AP's & Fellows	Calendar	May 28, 2021	Significant Progress	Some progress was made but this needs to be continued in a consistent basis.
Consistently Progress monitor TEKS identified from MAP and IA analysis and upcoming unit plans by creating weekly or bi-weekly quizzes in eduphoria for grades 3-8 in all tested subject areas	5.3	March 1 2021 / May 2021	Logistics, protocols	Instructional Coaches	Next Steps list, student data, Launch Agendas	May 28, 2021	Met	

REFLECTION and PLANNING for NEXT 90-DAY CYCLE

At the end of this cycle, please reflect on the implementation of your Targeted Improvement Plan thus far by responding to the questions below. Be sure to explain whether your outcomes and student performance goals were met and why or why not. List any action steps you will carry-over to the next cycle and any new action steps you have discovered necessary for the next cycle. Be sure to add these action steps into the next cycle's action plan.

For each of the Prioritized Focus Areas, did you achieve your desired 90-day outcome? Why or why not?

Yes, Ogden had 80% retention and had 2 program changes which impacted teacher retention. **Two teacher resigned, both are moving out of state, and two leaders resigned voluntarily which would make retention at 91%** if there were no program changes at the campus. Three teachers (One 5th and 2 special education teachers) were asked to resign by the district since they did not meet the deadlines for their certification requirements, 84% retention. Currently Ogden is a Pre-k through 8th grade campus for school year 21-22 they will be pre- through 5th. Due to this change the 6 middle school teachers, one art, one special ed and one PE teacher will be moved to a middle school campus. Ogden will also be launching the Dual Language Program in grade Pre-k through 2nd and will phase in one grade at a time in the following school years. The impact of this is that 2 regular teachers, the 2 last hired, were displaced. Ogden will have 5 vacancies for next school year: 2 dual language, 2 Special and a classroom teacher.

Did you achieve your student performance goals (see Student Data Tab)? Why or why not?

No,

To be determined (partial STAAR data has been received and EOY MAP has not been completed)

Review the necessary adjustments/next steps column above. What Action Steps from this cycle will you continue working on in the next cycle? What new Action Steps do you need to add to the next cycle?

-Continue to use the CLT to address concerns and make decisions.
-Weekly walkthroughs to focus on rigor/academic looks fors to support students meetings their goals.
-Creating instructional plans with teachers for intervention/enrichment as well as maximizing instructional times.

Carryover Action Steps

-Completing and implementing a MOCHA chart for assigned projects and completion timelines.

New Action Steps

-Delineating expectations for each assignment given for all roles in relation to job responsibilities.

-Holding Bi-weekly meetings with Leadership to review the status of the action items and their completion.

-Owners update their assignment sheet weekly to review in the weekly check in with Principal.

END OF YEAR REFLECTION

Please reflect on the year's implementation of your Targeted Improvement Plan by responding to the questions below. Be sure to explain whether your campus achieved the desired annual outcome for each Prioritized Focus Area and why or why not.

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3
Essential Action	3.1	4.1	5.3

	- 5076 OF Starr retention year to year	EUT GUAIS.	-EOT-GOODS.
	Teacher satisfaction meets or exceeds the top quartile average for-	Increase overall Campus student achievement on STAAR at the	Increase overall Campus student achievement on STAAR at the ""Meets"" level for all-
	the district on the Insight Survey.	""Meets"" level for all grade levels and all subjects from 11% to 40%	grade levels and all subjects from 11% to 40%
	We will focus specifically on the following metrics:	And, on MAP, see a 75% of our students meeting their growth goals.	75% growth in math and reading for all students and all subgroups based on MAP
	- The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the		
	Insight Survey for Leadership	2021 Reading goal: Meets at 46%	2021 Reading goal: Meets at 46%
	- The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the	2021 Math goal : Meets at 46%	2021 Math goal : Meets at 46%-
	Insight Survey for Learning Environment	2021 Writing goal : Meets at 25%	2021 Writing goal : Meets at 25%
	- The % of staff meets the top quartile average for the district on the-	2021 Science: Meets at 30%	2021 Science: Meets at 30%
	Insight Survey for Instructional Planning for Student Growth	2021 Social Studies: Meets at 30% in 2021	2021 Social Studies: Meets at 30% in 2021
	- Increase Attendance to 95%	60% of Ogden Kinder students will score at average or higher on MAP	60% of Ogden Kinder students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and 70%
Desired Annual Outcome	- Decrease failure rate to under 10%	reading and 70% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY.	at average or higher on math assessments by EOY.
		50% of Ogden 1st grade students will score at average or higher on-	50% of Ogden 1st grade students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and
	By the end of the year, 80% of our teachers will be able to articulate	MAP reading and 60% at average or higher on math assessments by	60% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY.
the	the mission, vision, and values of the school and will be able to	EOY.	50% of Ogden 2nd grade students will score at average or higher on MAP reading and
	connect instruction and student progress to the decisions made to	50% of Ogden 2nd grade students will score at average or higher on	75% at average or higher on math assessments by EOY.
	help us create a safe environment with high expectations. Every	MAP reading and 75% at average or higher on math assessments by	75% of Ogden PK students will score at average or higher on assessment in reading and
	teacher will address high expectations reached in class. Our teachers,	EOY.	80% at average or higher on math assessment by EOY.
	students, and families will name that they have felt a part of the	75% of Ogden PK students will score at average or higher on-	
	decision making process in the school and will state there is common	assessment in reading and 80% at average or higher on math-	By the end of the year, instructional leaders and teachers will track and monitor the
	alignment across all stakeholders. This desired annual outcome will	assessment by EOY.	progress of all students, including students with disabilities and English learners among
			other student groups for all assessment and quizzes given in school. Teachers and
	Systems to support 80% staff rotantion:	By the and of the year, our curriculum and accessments will be aligned	loadors will analyze student data using the student work provided and identify an
		During the school year, teachers had an opportunity to dive into the	
	In regards to retention, the school has achieved the goal that was set	TEKS during Unit Planning and PLC. Although this did not happen at the	
	of 80%. Two teachers have resigned due to the fact that they will no	beginning of the school year, the teachers were able to have this time.	
Did the campus achieve the	longer be living in the city. Another teacher has resigned due to an	This prioritized focus is in progress, but did not yet achieve the desired	In reflection, the campus did not achieve this goal. The campus did not consistently
desired outcome? Why or	issue with her certification. This brings the retention rate for this	outcome. More training needs to be provided to teachers on how to	implement Weekly Data Meetings to analyze student growth. A missing component in
	school year to 85.7%. Teacher have also stated to several leaders that	unpack the TEKS and the process of doing it. In addition, teachers were	the data tracking was the use of teacher, student, and leader data trackers.
	they feel like they are a part of the decision making process.	not consistently present at all of these meetings which led to gaps in	
	They reel like they are a part of the decision making process.	understanding in specific grade levels.	
		understanding in specific grade levels.	

Ogden MS Cycle 4 (Jun-Aug)

CYCLE 4 90-DAY OUTCOMES (June-August)

The purpose of this 90-Day action plan is to prepare for the upcoming school year. The essential actions the campus prioritizes may have changed based on progress made in the school year or based on ESF diagnostic results. Please complete this portion of the plan by reflecting on your campuses progress this year, and identifying your focus areas for next year. This tab serves as the foundation for next year's Targeted Improvement plan.

Complete each section below:

Essential Action: From the drop-down menu, select 2-3 Essential Actions the campus has selected to prioritize in the 2021-2022 school year.

Rationale: Explain the reason(s) this campus chose to focus on these Essential Actions this year.

Communication: Describe how you will communicate your priorities to your stakeholders and how you will create buy-in from key stakeholder groups.

Desired Annual Outcome: For each prioritized focus area selected, create your annual goal that is specific, measurable, attainable, and realistic.

Desired 90-Day Outcome: Describe the specific, measurable goal the campus plans to achieve by the end of this cycle (June-August) for each prioritized focus area.

Capacity Building: For each prioritized focus area selected, list any internal/external capacity building efforts or cohorts in which you will participate this year. You can refer to the Vetted Improvement Programs found here: https://texasesf.org/vetted-programs/

Barriers: For each prioritized focus area selected, list the barriers to implementation the campus may face throughout the year.

District Actions for this Cycle: List what the district will do to support the campus to achieve the desired outcome during this 90-day cycle.

District Commitment Theory of Action: For each prioritized focus area selected, list what the district will do to support the campus to achieve its desired annual outcome. Be sure to reference the District Commitments found in the ESF located here: https://texasesf.org/framework/

	Prioritized Focus Area #1	Prioritized Focus Area #2	Prioritized Focus Area #3		
Essential Action	1.1 Developing campus instructional leaders (principal, assistant principal, teacher leaders, and counselors) with clear roles and responsibilities.	4.1 Curriculum and assessments aligned to TEKs with a year-long scope and sequence	5.3 Data-driven Instruction		
Rationale	At different points throughout the school year, many projects were completed quickly due to an immediate deadline. This caused a lack of clear and precise protocols which would have ensured the timelines being met, but also the quality of the project. Students and	Our teachers will need support to navigate the changing dynamics of teaching (digital versus in person), as well as unit planning with our curriculum and TEKS. Strengthening our teachers in the area of planning and aligning our standards are key to the success of student learning. Like our scholars, teachers need to feel safe and that their work is valued and recognized. Teachers will take ownership of what they have helped create; involving them in the decisions with TEKS, scope and sequence, curriculum, assessments and data-driven instruction is crucial.	In order to ensure that our students are mastering the content, teachers will need to have a measurement of this progress. By having a way to measure the progress, they can target specific areas of the misconception. This will enable them to also name the progress of each student. As a school, we began to structure the process of these data meeting. However, we do need to include more student work analysis to uncover specifically what our students need.		
How will you communicate these priorities to your stakeholders? How will you create buy-in?	Buy-in will be created by messaging that communication will be more transparent and effective. This will results in minimal confusion	Stakeholders will be trained prior to the beginning of the year on the process of unpacking TEKS and backwards planning. Buy-in will be created when staff is able to conceptualize the TEKS with a deeper understanding and thus be able to plan for their student achievement more effectively.	These priorities will be communicated at the beginning of the school year. The teachers and students will be trained on the specific use of a binder to track data. Teachers will also be trained on the format and expectations of the Weekly Data Meetings. As the students make progress in class, the teacher will also improve her overall instructional practice in the classroom.		
Desired Annual Outcome	will positively impact the teachers and students by creating a more	The desired outcome will be to have teachers implementing well planned out content-rich lessons that align to the scope and sequence and TEKS. 100% of the campus will know explicitly what standards are being taught and what is expected of the students, so that they may then fully support them in the classroom instruction and intervention.	The desired outcome will be to have all the teachers track the growth of their students. 100% of the campus will know exactly where and how the students need support to grow. Students will be able to articulate their own data and growth throughout the school year.		

Ogden MS Cycle 4 (Jun-Aug)

		ACTION PLAN	
District Commitment Theory of Action	If district, RLS and school leadership team policies and practices align and promote campus instructional leadership growth then we will meet our goal in 1.1.	If RLS, the district's, and school leadership team's annual academic calendar includes days for school-based professional development activities that align with the assessment calendar and allow for data-driven reflection then we will meet our EOY academic goals and those listed in 4.1.	If RLS, the school leadership team, and the district policies and practices support effective instruction and the resources for strong data driven instruction and practices- access to data, resources in interpreting the data in graphics, in schools then we will meet our EOY academic goals and those listed in 5.3.
District Actions for this Cycle	SAISD and RLS will provide time for leaders to plan and meet in order collaborate and check in on continued projects and timelines/deadlines.	SAISD has provided quality templates for our Social Studies and Science teachers to use as they plan out their units. In addition, support and coaching of our math teachers from the district is helping to support the	RLS has rolled out the MAP and Interim Assessment (IA) analysis process to staff and leaders and has also conceptualized how unit planning is a part of the data driven process. In addition, SAISD supported our leaders and teachers by having preliminary MAP meetings with our teachers, and soon our leaders to confirm the goals we have set or to help us revise these goals.
Barriers to Address throughout the year	Leaders needed more practice implementing the MOCHA protocol along with ensuring timelines were met. Due to covid-19 and rise in cases in the Ogden community with exposures/positive cases faculty was insecure about meeting as a team and/or being physically in person. Zoom although an effective meeting platform has limitations	Teachers will need practice and guidance while becoming familiar with the process of unpacking and backwards planning in the beginning of the year and continued follow-up throughout the year to ensure the planning completion prior to each unit. Teachers will need a planning space in order to collaborate and share thought/plans and materials either online	expectations of a weekly data meeting. One of the biggest barriers is
Desired 90-Day Outcome	in order ensure leaders are effectively communicating/collaborating with each other and staff.	planning meetings with start to familiarize them with the process. Unit planning will be adjusted based on student gaps and understandings from previous year and then include current benchmark assessments once taken.	In the first 90 days, 100% of the teachers will begin using a data binder with goals set for their classroom. 100% of students will also have an individual data folder set up with their first few weeks of data and goals for the BOY MAP.
How will the campus build capacity in this area? Who will you partner with?	Fully following and tightening up the systems in place and following up to ensure efficiency. Our partner will be Relay Lab Schools Texas.	prior to each unit in order to have staff fully internalize the TEKS/standards in the units and plan for content objectives based on unpacked TEKS, essential questions, content rich texts, instructional materials and common-misconception reteach strategies, formative assessment. We will ensure that we meet on a schedule basis to ensure fidelity is being followed. Our partner will be Relay Lab Schools Taxas	The campus will give specific training to the teachers and students on how data binders should look and be implemented. The campus will partner with Relay Lab Schools Texas.

ACTION PLAN

In each row below, list the actions the campus is taking during this cycle to achieve its desired outcomes and address the identified barriers to implementation.

For each action step, indicate:

- the prioritized essential action it is aligned to,
- the start date/end date during this specific cycle,
- the resources needed to accomplish this task,
- the person(s) responsible for ensuring task is accomplished,
- the evidence that will be used to determine progress toward the action step, and
- the date evidence will be collected.

At the end of each cycle -

For each action step: (1) select the progress review status from the drop down menu, and (2) describe what next steps will be taken during the next cycle.

For each action that has not been MET, please update column J with necessary adjustments or next steps for this action step.

Action Steps	Prioritized Focus Area	Start Date/End Date	Resources Needed	Person(s) Responsible	Evidence used to Determine Progress toward Action Step (May be requested by Specialist)	Evidence Collection Date	Progress toward Action Step	Necessary Adjustments / Next Steps
Roll out of TEK unpacking and backward Unit Planning to staff	4.1	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Professional Development Session- TEKS unpacked/Unit planning	Instructional Coaches	Unit planning documents, content objectives with-in lesson plans, PD roster/	October 1, 2021		

Hold Consistent ELL and MTSS meetings and	1			EP, Principals,		1	
•	4.1	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Agendas for meetings	Instructional Coaches, MTSS & ELL	agendas	October 1, 2021	
collection process	4.1/5.3	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Logistics, prompts, protocols	& ELL coordinators,	Meeting Agendas, Protocols	October 1, 2021	
upcoming unit plans	4.1/5.3	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	protocol	Principals, Instructional Coaches	protocols, and Agendas	October 1, 2021	
Conduct our data analysis meeting following IAs to identify standards to focus on and reteach and standards to monitor progress following the IA in intervention.	,	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	protocol	Principals, Instructional Coaches	Agendas	October 1, 2021	
Progress monitor TEKS identified from MAP and IA analysis and upcoming unit plans by creating weekly or bi-weekly quizzes in eduphoria for grades 3-5 in all tested subject areas	4.1/5.3	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	protocols, logistics	RLS, Principals, Instructional Coaches and teachers	presentations, agendas	October 1, 2021	
goals related to benchmarks which we will be doing based on our campus goals and their BOY MAP	5.3	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Goal Sheets, data binders for students,teachers and leaders	RLS, Principals, FACE, Instructional Coaches and teachers	student goal sheets, data binders	October 1, 2021	
Student progress toward measurable goals will be visible in every classroom to foster student ownership and goal setting/meeting. Campus incentives will be given to those students who meet their goals.	5.3	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	data walls	RLS, Principals, FACE, Instructional Coaches and teachers	data walls	October 1, 2021	
Clearly outline the expectations and roles for all the leaders on campus.	1.1	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Job descriptions Programs	RLS, Principal	Training sign in and content	October 1, 2021	
Name on the MOCHA chart who is responsible for projects that are listed out for the school year.	1.1	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	MOCHA Chart Job responsibilities	Principal, AP, Instructional Coaches, FACE. Secretary, Dean	Completed MOCHA chart for the 21-22 school year	October 1, 2021	
Project owners will list out the steps for completing their projects	1.1	July 12, 2021- July 30, 2021	Time Expectations of Project	Principal, AP, Instructional Coaches,	Task completed in the MOCHA chart for the	October 1, 2021	
Staff will be trained in the MOCHA chart to make them aware of the process and decision makers.	1.1	July 19, 2021- July 30, 2021	Content Powerpoint	Principal, AP	Training sign-in and content presentation	October 1, 2021	
A timeline will be completed of when projects will be completed and reviewed.	1.1	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Calendar of events	Principal, AP, Instructional Coaches,	Calendarized events on school calendar	October 1, 2021	
Weekly check in of progress on the MOCHA chart for the project owner.	1.1	July 19, 2021- October 1, 2021	Check in documents	Principal & Project Owner	Task completions are marked complete	October 1, 2021	
Bi-weekly review of the projects on the MOCHA with the Leaders, FACE Specialists, Secretary	1.1	August 9, 2021- October 2, 2021	Calendar of events Tasks for each	Principal, AP, Instructional Coaches, FACE. Secretary. Dean	MOCHA Chart	October 1, 2021	